2015 Performance Funding Model 2013-14 Final Metric Score Sheet

Scores in black are based on Excellence.

Scores in orange are based on Improvement.

Metric	FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
1	4	3	3	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	2
2	2	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	5
3	0	3	1	3	2	0	4	3	1	3	0
4	0	5	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	3	5
5	1	0	2	2	5	1	3	5	2	3	4
6	5	5	4	4	2	3	4	5	4	5	5
7	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
8.a	1	4	5	3	1		4	5	3	5	4
8.b						5					
9.a	3	3	3	3			2		3	5	2
9.b					2			3			
9.c						5					
10.a	5										
10.b		5	5	5							
10.c					5						
10.d						5					
10.e							5				
10.f								3			
10.g									5		
10.h										4	
10.i											5
Total Score	26	37	38	37	36	35	39	44	36	42	37

Metric 1 - Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed and/or Continuing their Education Further 1 Yr after Graduation

Metric 2 - Median Average Wages of Undergraduates Employed in Florida 1 Yr after Graduation

- Metric 3 Average Cost per Undergraduate Degree to the Institution
- Metric 4 Six Year Graduation Rates (Full-time and Part-time FTIC)
- Metric 5 Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention with GPA above 2.0)
- Metric 6 Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 7 University Access Rate (Percent of Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)
- Metric 8a Graduate Degreees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 8b Freshman in Top 10% of Graduating High School Class
- Metric 9 Board of Governors' Choice (see detailed sheets)
- Metric 10 Board of Trustees' Choice (see detailed sheets)

Metrics 1 - 5													
			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
		Excellence	69%	74%	74%	77%	69%	51%	74%	72%	76%	75%	67%
1	and/or Continuing their Education (1 Yr after Graduation)	Improvement	4%	2%	2%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	2%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (80%), 4 (75%), 3 (70%), 2 (65%), 1 (60%)												
	Excellence Score		2	3	3	4	2	0	3	3	4	4	2
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)				-			-			-	_	
	Improvement Score		4	2	2	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	2
	Higher Score		4	3	3	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	2
	Median Average full-time Wages of Bachelor's		A 20.000	A	¢ 05.000	¢ 04.000	¢ 01 (00		¢ 24.000	* • • • • • • • •	¢ 04.500	¢ 05.000	¢
2	Graduates Employed in Florida (1 Yr after	Excellence	\$ 28,800	\$ 36,000	\$ 35,300	\$ 36,200	\$ 31,600	\$ 26,300	\$ 34,900	\$ 34,800	\$ 34,700	\$ 35,200	\$ 32,900
	Graduation)	Improvement	-4%	3%	7%	3%	4%	24%	4%	5%	1%	2%	6%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (\$40K), 4 (\$35K), 3 (\$30K), 2 (\$25K), 1 (\$20K)		270	0 70	. 70	0.70	270	-1/0	270	070	270		070
Excellence benchmark	Excellence Score		2	4	4	4	3	2	3	3	3	4	3
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)		۷	-7	- T	-	5	4	5		5		5
inprovement benefiting K	Improvement Score	1	0	3	5	3	4	5	4	5	1	2	5
	Higher Score		2	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	5
	0				-								
		Excellence	\$ 40,080	\$ 27,690	\$ 29,390	\$ 25,580	\$ 26,700	\$ 76,720	\$ 22,430	\$ 25,450	\$ 30,750	\$ 25,490	\$ 32,970
3	Average Cost per Bachelor's Degree	Excellence	+	, ,				, .	. ,				\$ 32,970
		Improvement	8%	-3%	1%	0%	4%	4%	7%	2%	5%	5%	6%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (\$20,600), 4 (\$23,175), 3 (\$25,750), 2 (\$28,325), 1	•											
Excellence Benchmark	(\$30,900)												
	Excellence Score		0	2	1	3	2	0	4	3	1	3	0
Improvement Benchmark	5 (-5%), 4 (-4%), 3 (-3%), 2 (-2%), 1 (-1%)												
	Improvement Score		0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Higher Score		0	3	1	3	2	0	4	3	1	3	0
4		Excellence	39%	45%	49%	53%	79%	69%	69%	87%	55%	66%	51%
		Improvement	-2%	5%	6%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	6%	3%	9%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (70%), 4 (67.5%), 3(65), 2 (62.5%), 1 (60%)	1	0	0	0	0			4	-			
[Excellence Score		0	0	0	0	5	4	4	5	0	3	0
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%) Improvement Score		0	5	5	3	2	3	2	1	5	3	5
	Higher Score		0	5	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	3	5
			0	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5
	Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention	Excellence	70%	66%	72%	77%	91%	80%	85%	95%	78%	85%	65%
5		Improvement	1%	-2%	2%	2%	91% 2%	-1%	0%	-1%	2%	0%	65 % 4%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (90%), 4 (87.5%), 3 (85%), 2 (82.5%), 1 (80%)	improvement	1/0	-2 /0	Z /0	Z /0	Z /0	-1/0	0 /0	-1/0	∠ /0	0/0	1 /0
Excellence benefiniarik	Excellence Score		0	0	0	0	5	1	3	5	0	3	0
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)				, v	, v				Ĭ	ı °		
	Improvement Score		1	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	4
	Higher Score		1	0	2	2	5	1	3	5	2	3	4

Metrics 6 - 8													
			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
6	Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of	Excellence	51%	55%	45%	46%	38%	42%	49%	55%	45%	51%	50%
0	Strategic Emphasis	Improvement	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	1%	5%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (50%), 4 (45%), 3 (40%), 2 (35%), 1 (30%)												
	Excellence Score		5	5	4	4	2	3	4	5	4	5	5
Improvement Benchmarl	k 5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
•	Improvement Score		1	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	0	1	5
			5	5	4	4	2	3	4	5	4	5	5
		-											
7	University Access Rate (Percent of	Excellence	62%	41%	35%	51%	30%	29%	38%	32%	33%	42%	40%
	Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)	Improvement	-4%	-1%	0%	1%	-1%	0%	0%	-1%	-3%	0%	1%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (30%), 4 (27.5%), 3 (25%), 2 (22.5%), 1 (20%)				1		1					1	
	Excellence Score		5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
Improvement Benchmarl	provement Benchmark 5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)				r	1		1	1	r			
	Improvement Score		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Higher Score		5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
8.A	Graduate Degrees Awarded in Areas of	Excellence	43%	55%	64%	52%	39%		57%	70%	50%	69%	47%
	Strategic Emphasis	Improvement	-1%	4%	-2%	3%	1%		-4%	1%	-1%	0%	4%
Excellence Benchmark		1			r -			1		r _			_
	Excellence Score		1	4	5	3	0		4	5	3	5	2
Improvement Benchmarl	<u>k</u> 5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)							1					
	Improvement Score		0	4	0	3	1		0	1	0	0	4
	Higher Score		1	4	5	3	1		4	5	3	5	4
8.B		Excellence						41%					
0.0	School Class - for NCF only	Improvement						6%					
Excellence Benchmark	5 (50%), 4 (45%), 3 (40%), 2 (35%), 1 (30%)												
	Excellence Score							3					
Improvement Benchmarl	k 5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)				•		•			•		•	
	Improvement Score							5					
	Higher Score							5					
	0												

Blank Cells: Metric is not applicable to the institution

Board of Governors Choice Metric 9													
			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
9.A	Baxxakaureate Degrees Awarded	Excellence	34%	73%	72%	68%			67%		71%	64%	66%
9.A	Without Excess Hours	Improvement	3%	2%	0%	3%			0%		2%	6%	1%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (80%), 4 (75%), 3 (70%), 2 (65%), 1 (60%)												
	Excellence Score		0	3	3	2			2		3	1	2
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score	-	3	2	0	3			0		2	5	1
9.B	Faculty Awards	Excellence					7			20			['
		Improvement					-4			2			<u> </u>
	UF: 5 (31), 4 (23), 3 (18), 2 (12), 1 (5) FSU: 5 (25), 4 (15), 3 (11), 2 (7), 1 (4)												
	Excellence Score						2			3			
	5 (5), 4 (4), 3 (3), 2 (2), 1 (1)												
	Improvement Score						0			2			
	National Ranking for Institutional &	Excellence						5					
).0	Program Achievements	Improvement						0					
Excellence Benchmark	5 (5), 4 (4), 3 (3), 2 (2), 1 (1)												
	Excellence Score							5					
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5), 4 (4), 3 (3), 2 (2), 1 (1)												
	Improvement Score							0					
	Higher Score		3	3	3	3	2	5	2	3	3	5	2

Blank Cells: Metric is not applicable to the institution

Board of Trustees Choice Metric 10													
			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
10.A	Percent of R&D Expenditures Funded from	Excellence	80%										
10.14	External Sources	Improvement	-6%										
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (80%), 4 pts (77.5%), 3 pts (75%), 2 pts (72.5), 1 pt (70%)												
	Excellence Score		5										
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)		•										-
	Improvement Score		0										
	Higher Score	2	5										
10.B	Bachelor's Degrees Awarded to Minorities	Excellence		43%	452	6,221							
	-	Improvement		1%	6%	370							
Excellence Benchmark	FAU:5 pts (40%), 4 pts (35%), 3 pts (30%), 2 pts (25%), 1 pt (20%). FGCU:5 pts (452), 4 pts (447), 3 pts (442), 2 pts (437), 1 pt (432). <u>FIU:</u> 5 pts (6,000), 4 pts (6,000), 2 pts (5,950), 1 pt (5,900)												
	Excellence Score			5	5	5							
Improvement Benchmark	FAU, FGCU: 5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%); FIU: 5 (200), 4 (175), 3 (150), 2 (125), 1 (100)						_			_			
	Improvement Score			1	5	5							
	Higher Score	2		5	5	5							
	National Rank Higher than Predicted by the	Excellence					119						
10.C	Financial Resources Ranking Based on U.S. and World News Report	Improvement					4%						
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (75), 4 pts (60), 3 pts (45), 2 pts (30), 1 pt (15)												
	Excellence Score						5						
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)		-				4	r	r	r –	r	r	1
	Improvement Score Higher Score	2					4						
							5						
	Percent of Undergraduate Seniors	Excellence						100%					
10.D	Participating in a Research Course	Improvement						0%					
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (100%), 4 pts (99%), 3 pts (98%), 2 pts							270					
	(97%), 1 pt (96%) Excellence Score							5	1			<u> </u>	
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)							5		I			
	Improvement Score							0					
	Higher Score	2						5					
	Number of Dachelor Degrees Awarded	Excellence							12,372				
10.E	Annually	Improvement							0%				
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (12,300), 4 pts (12,250), 3 pts (12,200), 2 pts (12,150), 1 pt (12,100)												
	Excellence Score							1	5			1	
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)					•		•			•	•	·
	Improvement Score								0				
	Higher Score		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1			-

Board of Trustees Choice Metric 10													
			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
10.F	Total Research Expenditures	Excellence								\$ 695,000,000			
10.1	-	Improvement								-2,000,000			
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (Top 1/3 of AAU), 3 pts (Middle 1/3 of AAU), 1 pt (Lower 1/3 of AAU)									1			
	Excellence Score									3			
Improvement Benchmark	5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%), 1 pt (1%)												
	Improvement Score									0			
	Higher Score									3			
											11.0/		
10.G	Percent of Course Sections Offered via	Excellence									11%		
r	Distance and Blended Learning	Improvement									2%		
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (7%), 4 pts (6%), 3 pts (5%), 2 pts (4%), 1 pt (3%)		1	I	1		T	I		1			I
	Excellence Score										5		
Improvement Benchmark	1 pt(1%)												
	Improvement Score										2		
	Higher Score										5		
10.H	Number of Decidentered Annabutane	Excellence										289	
10.11	Number of Postdoctoral Appointees	Improvement										-4%	
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (300), 4 pts (275), 3 pts (250), 2 pts (225), 1 pt (200)	·					•			•			
	Excellence Score											4	
Improvement Benchmark	5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%), 1 pt (1%)												
	Improvement Score											0	
	Higher Score											4	
10.I	Number of Adult (Aged 25+)	Excellence											32%
10.1	Undergraduates Enrolled (in Fall)	Improvement											1%
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (21%), 4 pts (20%), 3 pts (19%), 2 pts (18%), 1 pt (17%)												-
	Excellence Score												5
Improvement Benchmark	5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%), 1 pt (1%)												
	Improvement Score												1
	Higher Score									3	5	4	5

Blank Cells: Metric is not applicable to the institution