Performance Funding Model 2012-13 Final Metric Score Sheet

Scores in black are based on Excellence.

Scores in orange are based on Improvement.

Metric	FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
1	2	4	4	3	2	0	3	2	3	3	2
2	5	3	3	4	3	1	3	5	4	4	3
3	0	2	2	2	2	0	4	3	1	3	0
4	2	0	0	3	5	3	3	5	2	5	0
5	5	0	1	3	5	1	3	5	0	3	0
6	3	3	2	3	2	5	3	4	1	4	2
7	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
8.a	2	1	5	3	1		4	5	5	5	2
8.b						2					
9.a	0	1	3	3			1		3	0	2
9.b					3			3			
9.c						4					
10.a	5										
10.b		5	5	5							
10.c					5						
10.d						5					
10.e							5				
10.f								5			
10.g									5		
10.h										5	
10.i											5
Total Score	29	24	30	34	33	25	34	42	29	37	21

- Metric 1 Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed and/or Continuing their Education Further 1 Yr after Graduation
- Metric 2 Median Average Wages of Undergraduates Employed in Florida 1 Yr after Graduation
- Metric 3 Average Cost per Undergraduate Degree to the Institution
- Metric 4 Six Year Graduation Rates (Full-time and Part-time FTIC)
- Metric 5 Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention with GPA above 2.0)
- Metric 6 Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 7 University Access Rate (Percent of Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)
- Metric 8a Graduate Degreees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 8b Freshman in Top 10% of Graduating High School Class
- Metric 9 Board of Governors' Choice (see detailed sheets)
- Metric 10 Board of Trustees' Choice (see detailed sheets)

Metrics 1 - 5

			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
	Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed	Excellence	60%	70%	70%	67%	61%	44%	69%	63%	69%	69%	60%
1	and/or Continuing their Education Further 1 Yr	Improvement	-3%	00/-	00/-	10/-	20/-	E0/_	00/-	00/-	20/-	10/_	0%
	after Graduation	improvement	-3 /0	0 70	0 70	-1 /0	-3 /0	-3 /0	0 70	0 70	-2/0	-1 /0	0 70
Excellence Benchmark	5 (75%), 4 (70%), 3 (65%), 2 (60%), 1 (55%)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	
	Excellence Score		2	4	4	3	2	0	3	2	3	3	2
<u>Improvement Benchmark</u>	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score		0										0
l	Higher Score		2	4	4	3	2	0	3	2	3	3	2
	Median Average full-time Wages of												
	Undergraduates Employed in Florida 1 Yr after	Excellence	\$ 30,000	\$ 34,900	\$ 32,900	\$ 35,100	\$ 30,300	\$ 21,200	\$ 33,700	\$ 33,100	\$ 34,200	\$ 34,600	\$ 31,000
	Graduation		-			·		·			-		
		Improvement	5%	1%	0%	-1 %	0%	-1 %	1%	6%	4%	-1% 3 0 3 200 \$ 34,600 4% 3 4	0%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (\$40K), 4 (\$35K), 3 (\$30K), 2 (\$25K), 1 (\$20K)												
	Excellence Score		3	3	3	4	3	1	3	3	3	3	3
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)					•		·	•				
	Improvement Score		5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	4	0
	Higher Score		5	3	3	4	3	1	3	5	4	4	3
	Average Cost per Undergraduate Degree to the	Evanllongo	¢ 27.050	¢ 22.420	¢ 20.240	¢ 26.720	¢ 25 500	¢ 74.640	¢ 21.060	¢ 24.040	¢ 20.250	¢ 24.240	\$ 31,080
	Institution	Excellence	ā 37,930	\$ 32,430	\$ 29,240	\$ 20,730	\$ 25,500	ā 74,040	\$ 21,000	ā 24,940	φ 29,550	\$ 24,340	\$ 31,000
		Improvement	2%	-2%	-2%	0%	2%	0%	4%	0%	3%	4%	1%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (\$20K), 4 (\$22.5K), 3 (\$25K), 2 (\$27.5K), 1 (\$30K)												
						1				1			
	Excellence Score		0	0	1	2	2	0	4	3	1	3	0
<u>Improvement Benchmark</u>	5 (-5%), 4 (-4%), 3 (-3%), 2 (-2%), 1 (-1%)				1	1			_	1			
	Improvement Score		_					-		_			0
	Higher Score		0	2	2	2	2	0	4	3	1	3	0
			3 3 3 4 3 1 3 3 3 3 4 5 1 5 4 5 1 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 5 4 5 5										
4	Six Year Graduation Rate (Full-Time and Part-	Excellence	41%	40%	43%	50%	77%	66%	66%	86%	49%	62%	42%
	Time FTIC)	Improvement	2%	0%	-1%	3%	2%	-3%	1%	1%	2%	8%	-2%
	5 (70%), 4 (67.5%), 3(65), 2 (62.5%), 1 (60%)												
	Excellence Score		0	0	0	0	5	3	3	5	0	1	0
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)					,					,		
	Improvement Score												0
	Higher Score		2	0	0	3	5	3	3	5	2	5	0
	Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention	Excellence	72%	70%	87%	63%							
F		Improvement	7%	-3%	1%	3%	0%	-2%	0%	1%	-2%	2%	0%
5	with GPA Above 2.0)	improvement	7.0										
5	with GPA Above 2.0) 5 (90%), 4 (87.5%), 3 (85%), 2 (82.5%), 1 (80%)	improvement	7 70										
Excellence Benchmark	5 (90%), 4 (87.5%), 3 (85%), 2 (82.5%), 1 (80%) Excellence Score	Improvement	0	0	0	0	5	1	3	5	0	3	0
Excellence Benchmark Improvement Benchmark	5 (90%), 4 (87.5%), 3 (85%), 2 (82.5%), 1 (80%) Excellence Score 5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)	improvement	0	0	0			l .	l.	5	l.		l
Excellence Benchmark Improvement Benchmark	5 (90%), 4 (87.5%), 3 (85%), 2 (82.5%), 1 (80%) Excellence Score	Improvement			0		0	l .	l.	l .	l.	3	0

Metrics 6 - 8

			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
6		Excellence	43%	40%	35%	40%	35%	56%	35%	47%	33%	46%	39%
O	Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)	Improvement	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	6%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (50%), 4 (45%), 3 (40%), 2 (35%), 1 (30%)												
	Excellence Score		3	3	2	3	2	5	2	4	1	4	2
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score		3	3	2	1	1	5	3	1	1	4	2
	Higher Score		3	3	2	3	2	5	3	4	1	4	2
7		Excellence	65%	41%	35%	47%	30%	29%	38%	32%	36%	41%	39%
,	Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)	Improvement	-3%	0%	1%	-2 %	-1%	-1 %	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (30%), 4 (27.5%), 3 (25%), 2 (22.5%), 1 (20%)				1		T	I	1	1	1		1
	Excellence Score		5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)				1		ı	ı	1	1	1		1
	Improvement Score		0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2
	Higher Score		5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
8.A		Excellence	39%	33%	36%	40%	31%		47%	59%	34%	57%	29%
	,	Improvement	-4 %	-2 %	5%	1%	0%		-3%	2%	6%	4%	2%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (50%), 4 (45%), 3 (40%), 2 (35%), 1 (30%)				,				,	,	,	•	,
	Excellence Score		2	1	2	3	1		4	5	1	5	0
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score		0	0	5	1	0		0	2	5	4	2
	Higher Score		2	1	5	3	1		4	5	5	5	2
8.B	Freshman in Top 10% of Graduating High	Excellence						35%					
0.Б	School Class	Improvement						-8%					
Excellence Benchmark	5 (50%), 4 (45%), 3 (40%), 2 (35%), 1 (30%)	•			•						•		
	Excellence Score							2					
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)				•		•	·	•	•	•		•
	Improvement Score							0					
	Higher Score							2					

Blank Cells: Metric is not applicable to the institution

Board of Governors Choice Metric 9

			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
9.A	Percent of Bachelor Degrees Without	Excellence	31%	63%	74%	70%			60%		71%	56%	65%
9.A	Excess Hours	Improvement	-	-	-	-			-		-	-	-
Excellence Benchmark	5 (80%), 4 (75%), 3 (70%), 2 (65%), 1 (60%)												
	Excellence Score		0	1	3	3			1		3	0	2
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
9.B	Faculty Awards	Excellence					11			18			
9.0	racuity Awards	Improvement					2			-4			
Excellence Benchmark	UF: 5 (31), 4 (23), 3 (18), 2 (12), 1 (5)												
Exerience Benefiliark	FSU : 5 (25), 4 (15), 3 (11), 2 (7), 1 (4)												
	Excellence Score						3			3			
Improvement Benchmark	5 (3%), 4 (2.5%), 3 (2%), 2 (1.5%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score						2			0			
9.C	National Ranking for Institutional &	Excellence						4					
9.0	Program Achievements	Improvement											
Excellence Benchmark	5 (5), 4 (4), 3 (3), 2 (2), 1 (1)												
	Excellence Score							4					
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5), 4 (4), 3 (3), 2 (2), 1 (1)												
	Improvement Score							0					
	Higher Score		0	1	3	3	3	4	1	3	3	0	2

^(-) Unavailable data because improvements were made to the data collection and reporting process beginning with the 2012-13 data, so prior year data is not comparable this year **Blank Cells:** Metric is not applicable to the institution

		Board	of Truste	es Choice	Metric 10	0							
			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
10.A	Percent of R&D Expenditures Funded from	Excellence	86%										
10.11	External Sources	Improvement	-2%										
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (80%), 4 pts (77.5%), 3 pts (75%), 2 pts (72.5), 1 pt (70%)												
Y (D 1 1	Excellence Score		5										
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)	1	0	1	T	1	ı		ı	1	1	1	1
	Improvement Score Higher Score		5										
	Tilgiki score												
10.B	Bachelor's Degrees Awarded to Minorities	Excellence		42%	23%	5,851							
10.D	,	Improvement		0%	5%	448							
Excellence Benchmark	FAU: 5 pts (40%), 4 pts (35%), 3 pts (30%), 2 pts (25%), 1 pt (20%). FGCU: 5 pts (20%), 4 pts (17.5%), 3 pts (15%), 2 pts (12.5%), 1 pt (10%). FIU: 5 pts (6,100), 4 pts (6,050), 3 pts (6,000), 2 pts (5,950), 1 pt (5,900)										1		T
	Excellence Score			5	5	0							
Improvement Benchmark	FAU, FGCU: 5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%); FIU: 5 (200), 4 (175), 3 (150), 2 (125), 1 (100)												
	Improvement Score			0	5	5							
	Higher Score			5	5	5							
	National Rank Higher than Predicted by the	Excellence					115						
10.C	Financial Resources Ranking Based on U.S. and World News Report	Improvement					-						
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (75), 4 pts (60), 3 pts (45), 2 pts (30), 1 pt (15)												
	Excellence Score						5						
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)			1							1	•	•
	Improvement Score						-						
	Higher Score						5						
	Percent of Undergraduate Seniors	Excellence						100%					
10.D	Participating in a Research Course	Improvement											
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (100%), 4 pts (99%), 3 pts (98%), 2 pts (97%), 1 pt (96%)												
	Excellence Score							5					
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score							-					
	Higher Score							5					
10.E	Number of Bachelor Degrees Awarded	Excellence							12,321				
10.11	Annually	Improvement							7%				
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (12,300), 4 pts (12,250), 3 pts (12,200), 2 pts (12,150), 1 pt (12,100)												
	Excellence Score								5				
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)		1	I.	1	1	t	1		1	1	1	1
provement benefitted	Improvement Score								5				
	Higher Score								5				
	Thigher beore								U				

Board of Trustees Choice Metric 10 FAMU FAU FGCU FIU **FSU** NCF **UCF** UF UNF USF UWF \$ 697,000,000 Excellence 10.F Total Research Expenditures Improvement 5 pts (Top 1/3 of AAU), -, 3 pts (Middle 1/3 Excellence Benchmark of AAU), -, 1 pt (Lower 1/3 of AAU) Excellence Score 5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%), Improvement Benchmark -\$43,000,000 l pt (1%) Improvement Score Higher Score 5 Percent of Course Sections Offered via Excellence 9% 10.G Distance and Blended Learning Improvement 2% 5 pts (7%), 4 pts (6%), 3 pts (5%), 2 pts (4%), Excellence Benchmark 1 pt (3%) Excellence Score 5 5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%), Improvement Benchmark 1 pt (1%) Improvement Score Higher Score 5 Excellence 300 10.H Number of Postdoctoral Appointees Improvement 7 5 pts (300), 4 pts (275), 3 pts (250), 2 pts (225), Excellence Benchmark 1 pt (200) Excellence Score 5 5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%), Improvement Benchmark l pt (1%) Improvement Score Higher Score 5 Number of Adult (25+) Undergraduates Excellence 32% 10.I Enrolled (in Fall) Improvement -1% 5 pts (21%), 4 pts (20%), 3 pts (19%), 2 pts Excellence Benchmark (18%), 1 pt (17%) Excellence Score 5 5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%), Improvement Benchmark 1 pt (1%) Improvement Score 0 Higher Score

Blank Cells: Metric is not applicable to the institution