Point System for PECO Funding (pursuant to SB 190)

METHODOLOGY

Criteria			Max.												
#	Criteria Name	Eligibility for Criteria Category ¹	Points	Calculation	Ranking / Scores										_
1	Prior Legislative Funding	The project was previously funded by the Legislature and the funds needed for completion constitute a relatively low percentage of total project costs.	20	100% - [(State Appropriations + Local Funds) / Total Project Cost]	10th Lowest %	9th Lowest %	8th Lowest %	7th Lowest %	6th Lowest %	5th Lowest %	4th Lowest %	3rd Lowest %	2nd Lowest %	Lowest %	
				Points	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	
2	Maintenance, Infrastructure & Operations	Building maintenance, repair of utility infrastructure or a project necessary to maintain the operation of a university site. [Must demonstrate no funds available after BOG approval of the Carry Forward spending plan; s. 1011.45]	15	The 10 lowest (\$) amount total PECO requested per project	N/A	10th Lowest	9th Lowest	8th Lowest	7th Lowest	6th Lowest	5th Lowest	4th Lowest	3rd Lowest	2nd Lowest	Lowest request
				Points	0	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
3	Space Needs Met	Project addresses the greatest current year need for space as indicated by increased instructional or research capacity for the greatest number of students or the university's mission.	25	(%) Increase in Total Space Needs NASF per Projects x Total New NASF ²	N/A	10th Highest	9th Highest	8th Highest	7th Highest	6th Highest	5th Highest	4th Highest	3rd Highest	2nd Highest	Highest #
				Points	0	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21	23	25
4	University Priority	The project reflects the top two (2) priorities of the submitting university.	5	Board of Trustees' Project Priority Ranking	N/A	#2 priority	#1 priority								
				Points	0	3	5								
5	Renovation or Replacement	The project represents the most practical and cost effective replacement or renovation of an existing building.	15	PECO funding / Gross Sq. Ft.	N/A	10th Lowest	9th Lowest	8th Lowest	7th Lowest	6th Lowest	5th Lowest	4th Lowest	3rd Lowest	2nd Lowest	Lowest Cost per GSF
				Points	0	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
6	New construction, remodel or renovation without, prior (\$) appropriation.	The project has funding from private sources; is needed to preserve the safety of persons using the facility; is consistent with legislative or board initiative; or [BOG specific] the university has allocated funding (as a % of Total Project Cost) of no less than 6% (preeminent), 4% (emerging preeminent) and 2% (neither).	20	Points awarded for each relevant qualification (max. 20 points per project)	N/A	Fundin private towar (≥ 25%	sources d TPC	persons	safety of using the ility	Consiste Legis initia		Consiste Board ir			y Funding; % or 2%
				Points	0	1	.2		2	2	2	2	2		2

Footnotes

1) A new construction, remodeling or renovation project that has <u>not</u> received prior appropriation will <u>not</u> be considered for inclusion on the prioritized PECO list unless:

1. A plan is provided to reserve funds in an escrow account, specific to the project, into which is annually deposited 1% of the total value of the building for future maintenance;

Total:

100

- 2. Sufficient capacity within cash and bonding estimate (per Revenue Estimating Conference) to accommodate the project within the 3-year PECO funding cycle; and
- 3. The project has been recommended by an Ed Plant Survey (s. 1013.31).

Rev. 10.03.19