## **METHODOLOGY**

## **Point System for PECO Funding** (pursuant s. 1001.706(12), F.S.)

Criteria #	Criteria Name	Eligibility for Criteria Category	Max. Points	Calculation	Ranking / Scores										
1	Prior Legislative Funding	The project was previously funded by the Legislature and the funds needed for completion constitute a relatively low percentage of total project costs.	20	100% - [(State Appropriations + Local Funds) / Total Project Cost]	N/A	10th Lowest %	9th Lowest %	8th Lowest %	7th Lowest %	6th Lowest %	5th Lowest %	4th Lowest %	3rd Lowest %	2nd Lowest %	Lowest %
				Points	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
2	Maintenance & Infrastructure	A building maintenance project or the repair of utility infrastructure which is necessary to preserve a safe environment for students and staff, or a project necessary to maintain the operation of a university site. [Must demonstrate no funds available after BOG approval of the Carry Forward spending plan; s. 1011.45]	20	The 10 lowest (\$) amount total PECO requested per project	N/A	10th Lowest	9th Lowest	8th Lowest	7th Lowest	6th Lowest	5th Lowest	4th Lowest	3rd Lowest	2nd Lowest	Lowest request
				Points	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
3	Space Needs Met	Project addresses the greatest current year need for space as indicated by increased instructional or research capacity for the greatest number of students or the university's mission.	20	(%) in Space Needs Total NASF met per Projects x Total New NASF <sup>1</sup>	N/A	10th Highest	9th Highest	8th Highest	7th Highest	6th Highest	5th Highest	4th Highest	3rd Highest	2nd Highest	Highest #
				Points	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
4	University Priority	The project reflects the top two (2) priorities of the submitting university.	5	Board of Trustees' Project Priority Ranking	N/A	#2 priority	#1 priority								
				Points	0	3	5								
5	Renovation or Replacement	The project represents the most practical and cost effective replacement or renovation of an existing building.	20	Total Project Cost / Gross Sq. Ft.	N/A	10th Lowest	9th Lowest	8th Lowest	7th Lowest	6th Lowest	5th Lowest	4th Lowest	3rd Lowest	2nd Lowest	Lowest Cost per GSF
				Points	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
6	New construction, remodel or renovation, without prior (\$) appropriation.	The project has funding from private gifts/donations; is needed to preserve the safety of persons using the facility; is consistent with legislative or board initiative; or [BOG specific] the university has allocated funding (as a % of Total Project Cost) of no less than 6% (preeminent), 4% (emerging preeminent) and 2% (neither).	20	Points awarded for each relevant qualification (max. 20 points per project)	N/A	Fundin priv gifts/do towar (≥ 25%	rate nations d TPC of TPC)	persons fac	ility	Consiste		initiative	gislative	6%, 4	ry Funding; % or 2%
				Points	0	1	2		2	l	4	7			2

## Footnotes

1) In the case of multiple projects per university, the cumulative Total Needs Met should not exceed the university's Total Unmet Space Need (unless EPS recommended).

Rev. 6/30/22

I:/Facilities/Working/PECO/Points System/Methodology