## 2022 Performance-Based Funding Model Final Metric Score Sheet

Scores in black are based on Excellence.

Scores in orange are based on Improvement.

Metric	FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FL Poly	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
1	4	4	4	5	6	5	8	4	6	5	5	7
2	10	10	9	10	10	9	6	10	10	10	10	10
3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
4	0	4	2	10	7	10	6	5	10	4	9	10
5	5	0	0	9	0	10	1	10	10	0	7	2
6	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10
7	10	9	7	10	7	6	7	8	5	7	8	8
8.a	5	10	10	10		9		9	10	10	10	10
8.b					3		0					
9.a	5	4	3	5	0	5	0	1	3	3	5	2
9.b	0	0	1	2		5	4	3	5	1	3	0
9.b.1					0							
10.a	10											
10.b		10										
10.c			9									
10.d				7								
10.e					10							
10.f						7						
10.g							10					
10.h								9				
10.i									10			
10.j										10		
10.k											10	
10.1												9
<b>Total Score</b>	69	71	65	88	63	86	60	79	89	70	87	78
Nous alimed												
Normalized	72	80	71	91	66	90	66	88	93	78	92	81

Metrics 1 - 8b and 10a - 10l are out of 10 points. Metrics 9a, 9b and 9b1 are out of 5 points

71

Metric 1 - Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed and/or Continuing their Education Further 1 Yr after Graduation

66

90

66

88

93

78

92

81

91

- Metric 2 Median Average Wages of Undergraduates Employed 1 Yr after Graduation
- Metric 3 Net Tuition & Fees per 120 Credit Hours

72

Score

Metric 4 - Four Year Graduation Rates (Full-time FTIC)

80

- Metric 5 Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention with GPA above 2.0)
- Metric 6 Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 7 University Access Rate (Percent of Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)
- Metric 8a Graduate Degrees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 8b Freshmen in Top 10% of Graduating High School Class
- Metric 9a Two-Year Graduation Rate for FCS Associate in Arts Transfer Student
- Metric 9b Six-Year Graduation Rate for Students who are Awarded a Pell Grant in their First Year
- Metric 9b1 Academic Progress Rate, 2nd Year Retention for FTIC with a Pell-Grant
- Metric 10 Board of Trustees' Choice (see detailed sheets)

		FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FL Poly	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
1. Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed	Excellence	62.5%	64.2%	64.7%	65.7%	69.6%	65.9%	52.5%	63.0%	70.1%	65.3%	65.2%	72.5%
(earning \$30,000+) and/or Continuing their Education (1 Yr after Graduation)	Improvement	0.6%	-1.6%	0.1%	-2.6%	-2.8%	0.2%	4.4%	-0.6%	-1.7%	-1.7%	-1.3%	-2.2%
Excellence Score	1	4	4	4	5	6	5	0	4	6	5	5	7
Excenence Score		4	4	4	3	6	3	U	4	0	3	3	/
Improvement Score		1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
Higher Score		4	4	4	5	6	5	8	4	6	5	5	7
O Madian Manager Charles and Canada Canada Canada	F 11	Ф 27 000	Ф 41 <b>Б</b> 00	Ф 40 <b>2</b> 00	ф 40 000	ф <b>Г</b> 4 400	ф 40 <b>2</b> 00	Ф <b>22</b> 400	ф <b>12</b> 200	ф 40 <b>5</b> 00	Ф <b>12</b> 000	ф <b>41 200</b>	Φ 45 500
2. Median Wages of Bachelor's Graduates Employed Full-time One Year After Graduation	Excellence Improvement	\$ 37,000 7.0%	\$ 41,500 1.0%	\$ 40,200	\$ 40,800	\$ 54,400	\$ 40,300	\$ 32,400 -11.0%	\$ 42,300 0.0%	\$ 48,500	\$ 42,900 5.0%	\$ 41,300 1.0%	\$ 45,500 1.0%
1 3													
Excellence Score		8	10	9	10	10	9	6	10	10	10	10	10
Improvement Score		10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	2
Higher Score		10	10	9	10	10	9	6	10	10	10	10	10
3. Net Tuition & Fees per 120 Credit Hours	Excellence	\$ (630)	\$ 6,100	\$ 8,740		\$ (12,160)	` /	· /	\$ 4,110	. ( , ,	\$ 5,790	\$ (620)	\$ 4,000
	Improvement	-127.0%	-21.0%	0.0%	-25.0%	-61.0%	-218.0%	-116.0%	-12.0%	-61.0%	-29.0%	-134.0%	-23.0%
Excellence Score		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Improvement Score		10	10	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Higher Score		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
4. Four Year Graduation Rate (Full-Time FTIC)	Excellence Improvement	27.0% -7.6%	49.8%	42.1% 0.7%	59.4% 10.1%	38.2%	72.7%	55.3% 1.4%	50.0%	74.7%	48.3%	62.5% 1.6%	47.4% 11.0%
	improvement	-7.070	2.0 /0	0.7 /0	10.1 /0	J.J /0	-1.1 /0	1.1/0	2.0 /0	<b>4.</b> 0 /0	0.070	1.0 /0	11.0 /0
Excellence Score		0	4	2	8	1	10	6	5	10	4	9	4
Improvement Score		0	4	1	10	7	0	2	4	8	0	3	10
Higher Score		0	4	2	10	7	10	6	5	10	4	9	10
	- 11	0.1.2.1			00.53		05.00		00 70	05 50		0.5.131	22.22/
5. Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention with GPA Above 2.0)	Excellence	84.2% 2.0%	78.0% -3.0%	76.8% 0.1%	89.2%	64.2%	92.9%	78.8% -1.5%	90.5%	95.5%	73.9% -7.4%	86.4% -3.5%	80.8%
With GIA Above 2.0j	Improvement	2.0%	<b>-</b> 3.0%	0.1%	-1.2%	-12.4%	<b>-</b> U./ 7/0	-1.3 %	-0.9%	-0.8 %	-7.4%	-3.3%	-1.4%
Excellence Score		5	0	0	9	0	10	1	10	10	0	7	2
1.0													
Improvement Score Higher Score		5	0	0	9	0	10	0	0 10	0 10	0	0 7	2
Higher Score		9	U	U	)	U	10	1	10	10	U	1	_

		<b>FAMU</b>	FAU	<b>FGCU</b>	FIU	FL Poly	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
6. Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of	Excellence	53.9%	58.3%	55.4%	57.5%	100.0%	50.3%	46.2%	55.5%	60.8%	66.5%	68.1%	64.4%
Strategic Emphasis	Improvement	6.7%	5.5%	3.2%	7.0%	0.0%	7.1%	-11.7%	2.6%	2.0%	9.5%	4.6%	5.0%
F 11 C		10	10	10	10	10	10		10	10	10	10	10
Excellence Score		10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10
Improvement Score		10	10	6	10	0	10	0	5	4	10	9	10
Higher Score		10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10
7. University Access Rate (Percent of	Excellence	59.3%	39.6%	30.6%	50.1%	33.1%	26.3%	30.4%	35.9%	24.7%	30.8%	35.4%	35.7%
Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)	Improvement	-3.6%	-1.9%	<b>-</b> 1.9%	-0.8%	-0.7%	-0.9%	2.0%	-1.7%	-1.1%	-0.4%	-2.6%	-1.5%
F 11 C		10	0	l 57	10	1 -		l 57	0	T =	1 -	0	
Excellence Score		10	9	7	10	7	6	7	8	5	7	8	8
Improvement Score		0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Higher Score		10	9	7	10	7	6	7	8	5	7	8	8
8	Excellence	47.3%	66.5%	64.5%	63.8%		59.9%		57.9%	70.0%	57.8%	70.4%	65.4%
Strategic Emphasis	Improvement	2.7%	0.8%	-1.2%	3.8%		1.1%		-2.6%	2.5%	5.9%	-1.0%	1.8%
Excellence Score		4	10	10	10		9	I	9	10	9	10	10
Excellence Score		4	10	10	10		9		9	10	9	10	10
Improvement Score		5	1	0	7		2		0	5	10	0	3
Higher Score		5	10	10	10		9		9	10	10	10	10
1	Excellence					32.9%		21.4%					
School Class (NCF and FPU only)	Improvement					0.9%		-3.1%					
Excellence Score				1		3		0		<u> </u>	1		1
LACENCIACE SCORE				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		U			1		<u> </u>
Improvement Score						1		0					
Higher Score						3		0					

		<b>FAMU</b>	FAU	<b>FGCU</b>	FIU	FL Poly	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	<b>UWF</b>
9a. Two-Year Graduation Rate for FCS Associate in	Excellence	42.7%	48.2%	40.3%	59.3%	4.0%	53.9%	22.6%	32.1%	41.8%	42.5%	51.3%	37.8%
Arts Transfer Student	Improvement	6.3%	2.0%	-1.6%	4.4%	-0.2%	-2.1%	-2.4%	-0.1%	3.3%	-3.8%	3.6%	1.0%
		-		•					•			-	
Excellence Score		3	4	3	5	0	5	0	1	3	3	5	2
Improvement Score		5	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	1
Higher Score		5	4	3	5	0	5	0	1	3	3	5	2
	Excellence	52.0%	55.9%	51.5%	65.0%		81.3%	65.2%	70.6%	87.3%	58.3%	72.0%	45.0%
Awarded a Pell Grant in their First Year	Improvement	-1.3%	0.6%	1.4%	1.2%		1.3%	4.6%	-0.7%	2.1%	1.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Excellence Score		0	0	0	2		5	2	3	5	0	3	0
Improvement Score		0	0	1	1		1	4	0	2	1	0	0
Higher Score		0	0	1	2		5	4	3	5	1	3	0
		1											
9b1. Academic Progress Rate, 2nd Year Retention	Excellence					66.0%							
for FTIC with a Pell-Grant (FPU only)	Improvement					-21.8%							
	Ţ		1	,					1			T	
Excellence Score						0							
Improvement Score						0							
Higher Score						0							

		FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FL Poly	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
10a. Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded to	Excellence	340											
Transfers with AA Degrees from FCS	Improvement	5.0%											
Excellence Score	1	9		I					I				
Exercise Score	ı					1							
mprovement Score		10											
Higher Score		10											
10b. Total Research Expenditures (in Millions)	Excellence		58										
ob Total Research Expenditures (III Williams)	Improvement		8.0%										
Excellence Score	Ī	<u> </u>	4										
medicine Seore	1	<u> </u>											
mprovement Score			10										
Higher Score			10										
0c. Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded to	Excellence			813									
Hispanic & African-Americans	Improvement			-3.0%									
11 0	ı	<del>                                     </del>						Ī	<u> </u>	Ι	<u> </u>		
Excellence Score	1	<u> </u>		9		<u> </u>							
mprovement Score	Ī			0									
Higher Score				9									
Trighti Score				,									
	Excellence				235								
0d. Number of Post-Doctoral Appointees	Improvement				-10.0%								
	_	•		•		•							
Excellence Score					7								
				1				Г	1	Г			
mprovement Score					0								
Higher Score					.7								
0e. Percent of Baccalaureate Graduates	Excellence					78.1%							
Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices	Improvement					-6.5%							
completing 2. Types of High-Impact Hactices	Improvement					-0.5 //							
Excellence Score						10							
		· '		<u> </u>									
mprovement Score						0							_
Higher Score						10							
0f. Number of Bachelor's Graduates who took an	Excellence						1169						
Entrepreneurship Class	Improvement						0.3%						
Excellence Score	1	<del>                                     </del>		<u> </u>		<del>                                     </del>	7		<u> </u>				
excenence ocore	1						/		1				
mprovement Score	1						0						
Higher Score							7						

Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices Improvement Score			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FL Poly	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
Discrete		Excellence							93.1%					
Improvement Score	High-Impact Practices	Improvement							6.9%					
Improvement Score														
10	Excellence Score								10					
Marcher   Marc		T					1		T		ı	Γ	Γ	
10h. Percent of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded to African American and Hispanic Students														
	Higher Score								10					
	10h Dougout of Pachalow's Dogwood Aryanded to	Eventionen								20.69/				
Excellence Score														
	Afficial American and Hispanic Students	improvement								0.0 /0				
	Excellence Score									9				
Higher Score	Excellence score		<u> </u>		1				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			1
Higher Score	Improvement Score									1				
Excellence										9				
Improvement														
Improvement	10i Endowment Size	Excellence									2379			
Improvement Score	on Endownent offe	Improvement									28.8%			
Improvement Score			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		<del>-</del>				1	r	ı	T
10	Excellence Score										9			
10		T	I I		1				1			Ī	Ī	
Dij. Percent of Undergraduate FTE in Online   Excellence	*													
Improvement	Higher Score										10			
Improvement	10i Parcent of Undergraduate ETE in Online	Evanllona										OE 10/		
Excellence Score														
Improvement Score	Courses	improvement										36.1 /0		
Improvement Score	Excellence Score											10		
Higher Score	Excellence score		<u> </u>						l		l	10		1
Higher Score	Improvement Score											10		
10k. 6-Year Graduation Rates	_													
Excellence Score 10 10  Improvement Score 2 2 10  Improvement Score 3 10  Improvement Score 4 10  In provement Score 5 10  In provement Score 6 10  In provement Score 7 10  In provement Score 8 10  In provement 9 10  Excellence 9 10  In provement 9 10  Excellence 9 10  Excellen														
Excellence Score 10  Improvement Score 2  Higher Score 10  101. Percent of Baccalaureate Graduates Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices Improvement 10  Excellence Score 10  Excellence Score 10  Excellence Score 10  Excellence 10  Excellen	10k 6-Vear Graduation Rates	Excellence											74.3%	
Improvement Score 2  Higher Score 100  101. Percent of Baccalaureate Graduates Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices Improvement 100  Excellence Score 100  Excellence Sc	Tok. 0-Teal Graduation Rates	Improvement											1.2%	
Improvement Score 2  Higher Score 100  101. Percent of Baccalaureate Graduates Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices Improvement 100  Excellence Score 100  Excellence Sc			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		<del>-</del>				1	r	ı	T
Higher Score  101. Percent of Baccalaureate Graduates Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices  Excellence Score    Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices   Timprovement   Timp	Excellence Score												10	
Higher Score  101. Percent of Baccalaureate Graduates Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices  Excellence Score    Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices   Timprovement   Timp		1	<del>                                     </del>		<u> </u>		<del>                                     </del>		ı		ı			
101. Percent of Baccalaureate Graduates Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices  Excellence Score  Excellence Score	*													
Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices Improvement	Higher Score												10	
Completing 2+ Types of High-Impact Practices Improvement Excellence Score	101 Percent of Recessary Creductor	Excellence												47.5%
Excellence Score														3.3%
	completing 2. Types of fingit impact fractices	improvement												J.J /0
	Excellence Score													9
Improvement Score		1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	ļ.	<u> </u>	!				ļ	
	Improvement Score													6
Higher Score Higher Score														9