METHODOLOGY (Revised as of 3/4/22)

Point System for PECO Funding (pursuant s. 1001.706(12), F.S.)

Criteria #	Criteria Name	Eligibility for Criteria Category	Max. Points	Calculation	Ranking / Scores										
1	Prior Legislative Funding	The project was previously funded by the Legislature and the funds needed for completion constitute a relatively low percentage of total project costs.	20	100% - [(State Appropriations + Local Funds) / Total Project Cost]	N/A	10th Lowest %	9th Lowest %	8th Lowest %	7th Lowest %	6th Lowest %	5th Lowest %	4th Lowest %	3rd Lowest %	2nd Lowest %	Lowest %
				Points	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
2	Maintenance & Infrastructure	A building maintenance project or the repair of utility infrastructure which is necessary to preserve a safe environment for students and staff, or a project necessary to maintain the operation of a university site. [Must demonstrate no funds available after BOG approval of the Carry Forward spending plan; s. 1011.45]	~~15~~ 20	The 10 lowest ($) amount total PECO requested per project	N/A	10th Lowest	9th Lowest	8th Lowest	7th Lowest	6th Lowest	5th Lowest	4th Lowest	3rd Lowest	2nd Lowest	Lowest request
				Points	0	~~6~~ 2	~~7~~ 4	~~8~~ 6	~~9~~ 8	~~10~~ 10	~~11~~ 12	~~12~~ 14	~~13~~ 16	~~14~~ 18	~~15~~ 20
3	Space Needs Met	Project addresses the greatest current year need for space as indicated by increased instructional or research capacity for the greatest number of students or the university's mission.	~~25~~ 20	(%) in Space Needs Total NASF met per Projects x Total New NASF [1]	N/A	10th Highest	9th Highest	8th Highest	7th Highest	6th Highest	5th Highest	4th Highest	3rd Highest	2nd Highest	Highest #
				Points	0	~~7~~ 2	~~9~~ 4	~~11~~ 6	~~13~~ 8	~~15~~ 10	~~17~~ 12	~~19~~ 14	~~21~~ 16	~~23~~ 18	~~25~~ 20
4	University Priority	The project reflects the top two (2) priorities of the submitting university.	5	Board of Trustees' Project Priority Ranking	N/A	#2 priority	#1 priority								
				Points	0	3	5								
5	Renovation or Replacement	The project represents the most practical and cost effective replacement or renovation of an existing building.	~~15~~ 20	Total Project Cost / Gross Sq. Ft.	N/A	10th Lowest	9th Lowest	8th Lowest	7th Lowest	6th Lowest	5th Lowest	4th Lowest	3rd Lowest	2nd Lowest	Lowest Cost per GSF
				Points	0	~~6~~ 2	~~7~~ 4	~~8~~ 6	~~9~~ 8	~~10~~ 10	~~11~~ 12	~~12~~ 14	~~13~~ 16	~~14~~ 18	~~15~~ 20
6	New construction, remodel or renovation, <u>without</u> prior ($) appropriation.	The project has funding from private sources; is needed to preserve the safety of persons using the facility; is consistent with legislative or board initiative; or [BOG specific] the university has allocated funding (as a % of Total Project Cost) of no less than 6% (preeminent), 4% (emerging preeminent) and 2% (neither).	~~20~~ 15	Points awarded for each relevant qualification (max. 20 points per project)	N/A	Funding from private sources toward TPC (≥ ~~25%~~ 10% of TPC)	Preserve safety of persons using the facility	Consistent with Strategic Legislative or Board initiative			University Funding; 6%, 4% or 2%				
				Points	0	~~12~~ 5	~~2~~ 4	~~2~~	4	~~2~~	2				

Footnotes

1) In the case of multiple projects per university, the cumulative Total Needs Met should not exceed the university's Total Unmet Space Need (unless EPS recommended).

25% benchmark for funds from private sources has been lowered due to the reduction in points available for this

Incrased assigned points from 2 to 4, given this involves repair/maint and is often encompassed in renovation/remodeling projects.

Previously two separate categories, now merged into one category since they are grouped together in s. 1001.706(12)(a)6. Assigned points did not change.