

# METHODOLOGY (Revised as of 6/30/22)

## Point System for PECO Funding (pursuant s. 1001.706(12), F.S.)

Criteria #	Criteria Name	Eligibility for Criteria Category	Max. Points	Calculation	Ranking / Scores												
1	Prior Legislative Funding	The project was previously funded by the Legislature and the funds needed for completion constitute a relatively low percentage of total project costs.	20	100% - [(State Appropriations + Local Funds) / Total Project Cost]	N/A	10th Lowest %	9th Lowest %	8th Lowest %	7th Lowest %	6th Lowest %	5th Lowest %	4th Lowest %	3rd Lowest %	2nd Lowest %	Lowest %		
					<i>Points</i>	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	
2	Maintenance & Infrastructure	A building maintenance project or the repair of utility infrastructure which is necessary to preserve a safe environment for students and staff, or a project necessary to maintain the operation of a university site. [Must demonstrate no funds available after BOG approval of the Carry Forward spending plan; s. 1011.45]	<del>15</del> 20	The 10 lowest (\$) amount total PECO requested per project	N/A	10th Lowest	9th Lowest	8th Lowest	7th Lowest	6th Lowest	5th Lowest	4th Lowest	3rd Lowest	2nd Lowest	Lowest request		
					<i>Points</i>	0	<del>6</del> 2	<del>7</del> 4	<del>8</del> 6	<del>9</del> 8	<del>10</del> 10	<del>11</del> 12	<del>12</del> 14	<del>13</del> 16	<del>14</del> 18	<del>15</del> 20	
3	Space Needs Met	Project addresses the greatest current year need for space as indicated by increased instructional or research capacity for the greatest number of students or the university's mission.	<del>25</del> 20	(%) in Space Needs Total NASF met per Projects x Total New NASF <sup>1</sup>	N/A	10th Highest	9th Highest	8th Highest	7th Highest	6th Highest	5th Highest	4th Highest	3rd Highest	2nd Highest	Highest #		
					<i>Points</i>	0	<del>7</del> 2	<del>9</del> 4	<del>11</del> 6	<del>13</del> 8	<del>15</del> 10	<del>17</del> 12	<del>19</del> 14	<del>21</del> 16	<del>23</del> 18	<del>25</del> 20	
4	University Priority	The project reflects the top two (2) priorities of the submitting university.	5	Board of Trustees' Project Priority Ranking	N/A	#2 priority	#1 priority										
					<i>Points</i>	0	3	5									
5	Renovation or Replacement	The project represents the most practical and cost effective replacement or renovation of an existing building.	<del>15</del> 20	Total Project Cost / Gross Sq. Ft.	N/A	10th Lowest	9th Lowest	8th Lowest	7th Lowest	6th Lowest	5th Lowest	4th Lowest	3rd Lowest	2nd Lowest	Lowest Cost per GSF		
					<i>Points</i>	0	<del>6</del> 2	<del>7</del> 4	<del>8</del> 6	<del>9</del> 8	<del>10</del> 10	<del>11</del> 12	<del>12</del> 14	<del>13</del> 16	<del>14</del> 18	<del>15</del> 20	
6	New construction, remodel or renovation, <u>without</u> prior (\$) appropriation.	The project has funding from private <del>sources</del> gifts/donations; is needed to preserve the safety of persons using the facility; is consistent with legislative or board initiative; or [BOG specific] the university has allocated funding (as a % of Total Project Cost) of no less than 6% (preeminent), 4% (emerging preeminent) and 2% (neither).	20	Points awarded for each relevant qualification (max. 20 points per project)	N/A	Funding from private <del>sources</del> gifts/donations toward TPC (≥ 25% of TPC)	Preserve safety of persons using the facility	Consistent with Strategic Legislative or Board initiative	University Funding; 6%, 4% or 2%								
					<i>Points</i>	0	12	2	<del>2</del>	4	<del>2</del>						

**Footnotes**

1) In the case of multiple projects per university, the cumulative Total Needs Met should not exceed the university's Total Unmet Space Need (unless EPS recommended).

I:/Facilities/Working/PECO/Points System/Methodology

Revised "sources" to read "gifts/donations", and total points reverted back to 20 (from prior revised 15).

Reverted back to the original 12 points and 25% benchmark (from the revised 5 points and 10% benchmark). Also, revised "sources" to read "gifts/donations".

Reverted back to the original 2 points (from the revised 4 points).

Previously two separate categories, now merged into one category since they are grouped together in s. 1001.706(12)(a)6. Assigned points did not change.