## Performance-Based Funding Metric 9 and 10 Discussion Overview

The current choice Metrics 9 and 10, other than recent changes, have been consistent since the implementation of the model in 2014. Implementation of the model in 2017 will be the fourth year; thus, it is reasonable that a review of the metrics be undertaken in preparation for potential modifications in year five.

This review would take place at the October 3, 2017 Budget & Finance Committee performance-based funding workshop.

## Options for consideration:

## Metric 9 - Board of Governors Choice Metric

- Review the existing metrics to determine if improvement is continuing to be made.
- b. Consider whether to change or keep the metrics.
- c. If the metric(s) remain, consider whether to revise the benchmarks.
- d. Determine when the change would take place.
- e. How often should the metric be reviewed? At least every 5 years?

## Metric 10 - Board of Trustees Choice Metric

- a. Metrics and benchmarks were approved by the Board of Trustees then adopted by the Board of Governors.
- b. Metrics vary by institution, but must be selected from a list of defined metrics by institution currently in the SUS Accountability Report.
- c. All universities receive 10 points on the current metric (except UF which received 7 points in Year 3, but a recent metric change would result in 10 points next year).
- d. Discussion items:
  - a. Should the metrics be changed?
  - b. Should the metrics still be selected from a defined set of metrics in the 2025 System Strategic Plan?
  - c. Should Trustees select three metrics (plus benchmarks) for consideration and recommendation to the Board, with the Board choosing one metric for inclusion in model?
  - d. Should the university be able to select the benchmarks to achieve a 10 or should these be stretch benchmarks?
  - e. If stretch benchmarks, how should benchmarks be established? By the Trustees or the Board of Governors?
  - f. Should benchmarks be set so no university can get more than 'x' number of points? If so, what should that be? 7 points, higher, lower?
  - g. How often should the metric be reviewed? At least every 5 years?