



STATE  
UNIVERSITY  
SYSTEM  
of FLORIDA  
Board of Governors

**AGENDA**  
**Facilities Committee**  
**By Telephone Conference Call**

**July 23, 2015**  
**1:30 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.**

**Dial-in Number: (888) 670-3525**  
**Participant Passcode: 4122150353#**

**Chair: Mr. H. Wayne Huizenga, Jr.; Vice Chair: Mr. Dick Beard**  
**Members: Carter, Doyle, Hosseini, Levine, Link, Morton, Robinson**

- 1. Call to Order and Opening Remarks**                      **Governor H. Wayne Huizenga, Jr.**
  
- 2. [Approval of Committee Meeting Minutes](#)**                      **Governor Huizenga**  
Minutes, [June 18, 2015](#)
  
- 3. [Public Private Partnership Guidelines](#)**                      **Mr. Chris Kinsley**  
*Assistant Vice Chancellor,  
Finance & Facilities,  
Board of Governors*
  
- 4. Concluding Remarks and Adjournment**                      **Governor Huizenga**

**STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF FLORIDA  
BOARD OF GOVERNORS  
Facilities Committee  
July 23, 2015**

**SUBJECT:** Minutes of the Meeting held June 18, 2015

---

**PROPOSED COMMITTEE ACTION**

Approval of the minutes of the Facilities Committee Meeting held on June 18, 2015.

**AUTHORITY FOR BOARD OF GOVERNORS ACTION**

Article IX, Section 7, Florida Constitution

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Board members will review and approve the minutes of the meeting held on June 18, 2015 at the University of South Florida.

---

**Supporting Documentation Included:** Minutes: June 18, 2015

**Facilitators/Presenters:** Governor H. Wayne Huizenga, Jr.

MINUTES  
STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF FLORIDA  
BOARD OF GOVERNORS  
FACILITIES COMMITTEE  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA  
TAMPA, FLORIDA  
June 18, 2015

*Video or audio archives of the meetings of the Board of Governors and its Committees are accessible at <http://www.flbog.edu/>.*

Chairman H. Wayne Huizenga, Jr., convened the Board of Governors Facilities Committee meeting at 9:37 a.m., June 18, 2015. The following members were present: Vice Chair Dick Beard, Daniel Doyle, Mori Hosseini, Alan Levine, Wendy Link, Edward Morton and Kathy Robinson, and Matt Carter via telephone. Other members present included Dean Colson, Patricia Frost, Tom Kuntz, and Norm Tripp. The Facilities Committee also welcomed the new Board of Governors student representative, Ms. Tonnelle Graham.

1. Call to Order

Governor Huizenga called the meeting of the Facilities Committee to order.

2. Debt Approval – University of Florida Office of Student Life and Indoor Football Practice Facility

First, Mr. Chris Kinsley reviewed the resolution to approve the issuance of variable rate tax-exempt bonds by the University of Florida Athletic Association, at \$15,000,000. This cost would allow the University of Florida to finance or reimburse the cost of construction, renovation, and expansion of the Office of Student Life Facility. Furthermore, the cost would allow for the acquisition, construction and equipping of a new indoor football practice facility on the main campus. The indoor football facility will also be financed with capital gifts and donations of \$25,150,000 and \$2,000,000 in their Athletic Association's available unrestricted cash and investments. In total, the cost of both projects is estimated to be approximately \$42,000,000.

Governor Morton then inquired about the variable rate debt and Melissa Stuckey, Associate Athletics Director for the University of Florida, was present to answer questions. Mr. Morton moved to approve the Adopt the Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of Variable Rate Debt by the University of Florida Athletic Association as presented. Governor Hosseini seconded the motion, and members of the committee concurred.

3. Debt Approval – Florida State University Doak S. Campbell Stadium Improvements

Next, Mr. Kinsley reviewed the item issuing debt approval to the Florida State University to finance or reimburse a portion of the cost of certain capital improvements to the existing Doak S. Campbell Stadium, including improvements to conference suites, club seating, and various other enhancements, all on the main campus in Tallahassee, Florida. This item involves the issuance of both taxable debt, in an amount not to exceed \$9,365,000 and tax-exempt debt, in an amount not to exceed \$75,635,000, by Florida State University Financial Assistance, Inc., a direct support organization of Florida State University. There was discussion about debt service requirements and the risk of meeting or not meeting projections. Andy Miller, President of the Seminole Boosters, and J.P. Sinclair, Chief Accounting Officer for the Seminole Boosters, were present to address such questions.

Mr. Morton moved to adopt the Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of Taxable Debt in an Amount not to Exceed \$9,365,000 and Tax-Exempt Debt in an Amount not to Exceed \$75,635,000 by the FSU Financial Assistance, Inc. Mr. Beard seconded the motion, and members of the committee concurred.

4. University of North Florida Educational Plant Survey Validation

Before presenting the next item Mr. Kinsley made an announcement that he would, in the future, supply a link in Diligent Boardbooks System with: 1) the final recommendation list of projects, and 2) the team members who have participated in the Survey. He expects this change will allow for a more consistent product and simplify the facts that are to be voted on by the Board. The University of North Florida Educational Plant Survey was then presented before the Board. Governor Huizenga recognizes that even when project recommendations are validated, that does not mean they are guaranteed funding in the future. Governor Robinson moved that the Committee approve the request; Governor Doyle seconded the motion. The committee unanimously approved the item as presented.

5. Florida A&M University Educational Plant Survey Validation

The completed Florida A&M University Educational Plant Survey was also brought before the Board. Governor Hosseini moved that the Committee approve the request. Governor Levine seconded the motion. The committee unanimously approved the item as presented.

6. Relating to the 2015-16 Fixed Capital Outlay Legislative Budget Request (LBR)

Mr. Kinsley made a brief announcement that the Capital Projects List will be sent out as soon as it can be finalized; the Board Staff is awaiting the Governor's Veto List to make those changes.

7. Public Private Partnership Guidelines

Comments and issue positions were noted during the last Facilities Committee meeting, held on May 18, 2015. Since then the Draft Public Private Partnership Guidelines were updated to reflect those notes and in turn were present before the Board to allow further discussion. Governor Huizenga introduced Ben Watkins, Director of the Division of Bond Finance, who went over a couple of outstanding items, including the Limited Liability Company exemption from the guidelines that has been requested by the universities. The final word from Governor Huizenga was that with minor changes, the Public Private Partnership Guidelines will be ready for final action at the September Board meeting.

8. Concluding Remarks and Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 10:18 a.m., June 18, 2015.

---

H. Wayne Huizenga, Jr., Chair

---

Kristen Connors,  
Facilities Planner, Finance & Facilities

**STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF FLORIDA  
BOARD OF GOVERNORS  
Facilities Committee  
July 23, 2015**

**SUBJECT:** Public Private Partnership Guidelines

---

**PROPOSED COMMITTEE ACTION**

Approval of draft guidelines related to Public Private Partnerships.

**AUTHORITY FOR BOARD OF GOVERNORS ACTION**

Article IX, Section 7, Florida Constitution; Sections 1013.171, Florida Statutes

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Public-Private partnerships (P3s) have been used in Canada and Europe as a common financing mechanism for the past few decades and over the last several years have gained in popularity in the United States as an alternative method for the completion of major capital projects. In Florida, P3s have been used primarily for road projects, such as the I-595 express lanes in Miami and the I-4 expansion project in the Orlando area.

The State University System has been interested in using P3s as well; however, no specific policies governing these complex transactions exist, leading to an ambiguous environment. In each of the past three years, various legislative solutions were proposed to clarify P3 rules for state entities, including state universities. However, while P3 statutes have been adopted for some governmental units, no change in law occurred for the universities. Following the 2014 Session, the Board of Governors conducted a P3 workshop in August, inviting university staff, external P3 experts, the State Division of Bond Finance, the Executive Office of the Governor, and other key stakeholders to participate. Out of this workshop, the consensus position was that Board staff, assisted by the universities, would establish a set of draft guidelines within the existing legal framework for university P3s.

One goal for the guidelines is to allow for flexibility in an area that is experiencing rapid change. This flexibility will allow for innovative ideas and it is expected that as new concepts are developed, the guidelines will be updated. The Board may find that the thresholds and dollar limits established can be prudently increased over time, based on additional actual project experience.

During the Facilities Committee meeting held on May 18, 2015, university staff, Board staff, and the Division of Bond Finance had the opportunity to discuss each issue and provide the Committee members additional comments on the reasoning for issue positions. On June 18, 2015, the Facilities Committee further considered the issues still under debate and the proposed language.

After additional discussions and input from the Division of Bond Finance and the universities, the updated draft guidelines are provided for consideration by the Committee. If approved by the Committee, the item will be moved forward for consideration by the full Board during the September meeting, which will allow for final comments on the proposed language.

---

**Supporting Documentation Included:** Revised Guideline Draft

**Facilitators/Presenters:** Mr. Chris Kinsley

**STATE OF FLORIDA**  
**FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS**  
**Public-Private Partnership Guidelines**

**DRAFT as of June 30, 2015**

DRAFT



**Table of Contents**

I. PURPOSE OF GUIDELINES ..... 3

II. DEFINITIONS ..... 3

III. USE OF QUALIFIED PROFESSIONALS ..... 5

IV. PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES ..... 5

V. PROJECT FEASIBILITY AND REQUIREMENTS ..... 7

VI. APPROVAL PROCESS AND REQUIRED INFORMATION ..... 9

VII. TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT ..... 14

VIII. REPORTING REQUIREMENT ..... 17

IX. BOARD OF GOVERNORS POWERS ..... 17

DRAFT

## I. PURPOSE OF GUIDELINES

Section 1013.171(1), Florida Statutes, authorizes each university board of trustees to negotiate and enter into agreements to lease real property under its jurisdiction to non-profit and for-profit corporations registered by the Secretary of State to do business in this state, for the purposes of erecting thereon facilities and accommodations necessary and desirable to serve the needs and purposes of the university, as determined by the system-wide strategic plan adopted by the Board of Governors. Section 1004.28(6), Florida Statutes, specifies that agreements by a DSO to finance, design and construct, lease, lease-purchase, purchase, or operate facilities are likewise subject to the provisions of sections 1013.171 and 1010.62, Florida Statutes. With regard to property that is owned by a direct support organization (DSO), section 1013.171(3) requires that the university board of trustees obtain a long-term lease from the DSO prior to construction of educational facilities. A long-term lease is defined as the greater of 40 years or the useful life of the facility.

The purpose of these guidelines is to provide: (i) a structure for the universities and university DSO to use in evaluating certain transactions with private third parties that will result in the construction of facilities for the use and/or benefit of a university, its students, faculty or staff, and (ii) the process for approval of such transactions. Monetization of existing university facilities is not permitted under these guidelines absent specific legislative authorization.

## II. DEFINITIONS

As used in these Guidelines, the term:

(a) "Facility" means a building or other facility and related improvements (but not landscaping or appurtenances alone) that: (i) is for purposes related to the housing, transportation (including parking), health care, research or research-related activities, food service, retail sales or student activities of the university or, if authorized by specific legislation, hotels, convention centers, stadiums or other facilities; (ii) is being constructed primarily for use by the university and/or its students, faculty, or staff; and (iii) is located on land under the jurisdiction of a state university, including property leased from the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund. .

(b) "Public-Private Partnership" or "P3" means an agreement or agreements between a university board of trustees, or a DSO, and a Private Party whereby the Private Party will, at least, be responsible for the construction and capital financing of a Facility.

(c) "Private Party" means a natural person, corporation, general partnership, limited partnership, joint venture, business trust, public-benefit corporation, non-profit entity, or other private business entity.

(d) "Project" means a Private Party's construction and capital financing of a Facility on the campus of a state university or on other real property directly owned by, or under the jurisdiction of, the university or by the state and leased to the university, accomplished through a Public-Private Partnership, with the Project costs being paid for, whether up front or over time, with revenues generated by the Project or other university or DSO revenues allowable for such purpose pursuant to section 1010.62, Florida Statutes. Project costs shall include construction and financing and may also include design and/or operational costs of the Facility.

(e) "University Board" means a university's Board of Trustees when acting as a board to review or approve a matter.

### **Projects not subject to the Guidelines**

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the following transactions are not Projects and therefore not subject to these guidelines and may be accomplished by the universities or their DSOs in accordance with any requirements under applicable laws, regulations and Board of Governors' and relevant university's policies and guidelines:

(a) Any transaction where the university or the DSO will be directly responsible for repayment of any debt associated with the construction of a Facility pursuant to section 1010.62, Florida Statutes, and the Board of Governors' Debt Management Guidelines.

(b) Any transaction where the university or DSO is hiring a Private Party to provide services including, but not limited to, management services agreements, unless such agreements involve a Private Party's construction and financing of a new facility or facility renovations costing more than \$5,000,000.

(c) Any transaction between a limited liability company in which the university and/or DSO is a controlling member or the manager (LLC), and a Private Party for the capital financing and construction of a Facility on real property that is not directly owned by the university or by the state and leased to the university, and which is located off campus and not immediately adjacent to, or across a public way from, the university's campus. However, the university shall notify the Board Office and the State Division of Bond Finance of any transaction contemplated by the LLC under this exception at least sixty (60) days prior to solicitation of binding agreements with the Private Party. The university shall provide any relevant information requested by the Board Office or the State Division of Bond Finance. If the information raises any issues

regarding the proposed Facilities, financing, activities, or operations to be conducted, then the Chancellor shall consult with the Chair of the Facilities Committee about whether to submit the proposed transaction to the Board of Governors for review.

(d) Any operating lease where the university or the DSO is the lessee for a building or portion thereof not on the university's campus.

(e) Any transaction involving construction of a Facility being funded solely with state fixed capital outlay appropriations or other legislative appropriation, or by those non-state source and other funds authorized pursuant to section 1013.74(2) (a), Florida Statutes, excluding lease arrangements otherwise controlled by these guidelines, or by other non-state source funds that are appropriate for use for the Project, or by both such appropriated state funds and non-state source funds.

(f) Any Project with a total cost of \$5,000,000 or less.

(g) Any Energy Performance-Based Contracts, in accordance with the provisions of section 1013.28, Florida Statutes, not to exceed \$10,000,000.

### **III. USE OF QUALIFIED PROFESSIONALS**

The use of qualified professionals, whether in-house or external to the university or DSO, or both, is essential to the success of any Project. A university or DSO should determine that current university or DSO staff have the requisite experience to negotiate the type of Project under consideration by the university and that, if necessary, external independent financial advisors and outside legal counsel, such as bond counsel, have been retained prior to Project solicitation as described in Section IV.

### **IV. PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES**

(a) A university or DSO shall solicit proposals from Private Parties for the Project. The solicitation should be in the form of an Invitation to Negotiate (ITN) or other procurement process to ensure the flexibility necessary to structure the Project in a manner that:

1. Is in the best interests of the university or the DSO.
2. Is for a Facility that is owned by the university or the DSO or for a Facility for which ownership will be conveyed to the university or the DSO. Removal of the Facility and restoration of the property at the option of the university or DSO by, or at the cost of, the Private Party

upon the expiration or termination of the agreement may be provided to the extent permitted by law.

3. Includes a plan with adequate safeguards in place to reasonably mitigate and manage foreseeable risk of future costs or service disruptions for the university or the DSO in the event of material default or cancellation of the Public-Private Partnership. For example, restrictive covenants, recognition and subordination agreements, and/or recordation obligations, or other protections may reasonably protect the interests of the university or DSO in the Project and, if applicable, the real property owned or controlled by the university or the DSO on which the Project is located.
4. Has adequate safeguards in place to reasonably ensure that the university's or DSO's debt rating will not be adversely affected by the Project.
5. Assures an open, competitive and transparent procurement process.
6. Provides criteria and metrics to allow an objective evaluation of any competing respondents' proposals.

(b) At a minimum, the procurement process (which may be conducted in phases) should require the following information from respondents:

1. A description of the Facility, a schedule for the initiation and completion of the Facility, and the total Project cost based on the initially identified Project scope and conditions.
2. If applicable, a description of the method by which the Private Party proposes to secure the necessary property interests that are required for the Project.
3. A financing plan sufficient to determine the adequacy and expected type of revenues or assets to service the proposed debt or equity investment of the Private Party and related covenants or conditions. If the Private Party intends to use its own assets for the Project, sufficient information must be provided that substantiates the availability of the assets to be used for the Project (e.g., financial statements, etc.). For residence halls, parking facilities, and any other Facility where students will be charged a fee for use or occupancy of the Facility, an explanation of university involvement in establishing and overseeing the assessment of fees, a schedule detailing the proposed fees used to prepare pro-forma cash flows over the term of the Public-Private Partnership and the methodology, limits, and approvals for, and circumstances that would allow, increases to such fees over the term.

4. A description of the qualifications of the Private Party, the qualifications of any other entities that will provide services on the Project, and key persons who will be responsible for the Project.
5. A schedule of projected revenues, expenses, debt service, excess cash flow, the distribution of excess cash flow to the university, DSO or Private Party and the anticipated return on investment and internal rate of return to the Private Party for the term of the P3.

## V. PROJECT FEASIBILITY AND REQUIREMENTS

Prior to entering into a binding agreement for a Public-Private Partnership subject to these guidelines, the university or DSO shall consider the feasibility of the Project and have sufficient information to determine:

(a) The need for the Project in relation to other facility needs of the university and whether current or projected demand exists that is adequate in relation to the cost of the Project.

(b) The financial feasibility of the Project, including all sources of revenues necessary to fully fund the construction, operation and maintenance of the Project, together with an assessment of whether the total cost is reasonable in relation to similar facilities, taking into account the total value of the Project to meet university goals and the availability or unavailability of any lower-cost means to achieve the same total value, and for student facilities, such as housing, that costs to the student have been considered. To the extent the Project contemplates the payment of funds by the university or DSO in connection with required Project costs, such as debt service, utilities, or maintenance, then such revenues are subject to the revenue restrictions of section 1010.62, Florida Statutes, and applicable Debt Management Guidelines. If the Project contemplates mixed uses (e.g., housing, parking, retail sales, food service, etc.), the financial feasibility analysis should consider whether a functional relationship exists between the various uses, while not inequitably shifting additional costs to students if the Project entails student use.

(c) The cost of any services to be provided by the university or the DSO in relation to the Project.

(d) The effect, if any, of the Project on the university's or DSO's credit rating. If any debt of the University or DSO (direct or imputed by a rating agency) is being used to finance the Project, provide an explanation as to the effect, if any, of the Project on debt previously issued and an analysis of the impact, if any, on the financial

performance of similar auxiliary enterprises and why the Project is not being included as a part of the existing auxiliary enterprise system.

(e) The percentage equity in the Project by the Private Party in relation to total Project costs, and the credit quality of all debt associated with the Project, including any public or indicative ratings provided by any rating agency.

(f) The projected revenues to be received by the university or DSO over the term of the agreement if the Project is revenue-generating, and the proposed use(s) of those revenues. For revenue-generating Projects utilizing debt, the projected revenues should provide a coverage ratio of 1.20x projected debt service during the first full year of Project operations and each subsequent year. If a coverage ratio of 1.20x is not projected to be achieved, a justification should be provided as to why the proposed debt does not meet this coverage ratio. If the Project only meets the required 1.2x debt service coverage ratio due to the deferral of debt repayment beyond the construction term of the Facility or using ascending debt service to reduce initial debt service payments, a justification should be provided as to why the structure does not comply with the Board's Debt Management Guidelines.

(g) The provision of an adequate reserve fund for expenses relating to operations, maintenance and renewal or replacement, if applicable.

(h) Any material economic, operational, or technological risks associated with the Project.

(i) Whether the Private Party has the available sources of funding or other financial resources that are necessary to carry out the Project.

(j) That the Private Party has sufficient staff with the necessary experience and qualifications to perform the construction activities and any operational, managerial, or technical services for the Project.

(k) The term of any lease agreement associated with a Facility shall not exceed forty (40) years, or the life expectancy of the Facility, and shall include consideration for eventual ownership of the Facility by the university or DSO. As a practical consideration, the university shall provide a summary of its ownership interests in the property, including if applicable, the remaining term on the lease from the state. In making the determination of the life expectancy of the facilities, the standard fifty (50) year assumption found in section 1013.64 (1)(a), Florida Statutes, may be used, if the Facility is being constructed in conformity with university construction standards and codes. Any lease term in excess of forty (40) years requires an analysis to demonstrate the benefits, including the additional cash flow distributions to the university, the DSO and the Private Party of the longer term period when compared to a 40 year term. The

analysis shall also include a comparison of the return on investment and internal rate of return to the Private Party under a 40 year lease to the longer term.

(l) The term of the debt should not exceed thirty (30) years, exclusive of the time required for construction of the Facility.

## **VI. APPROVAL PROCESS AND REQUIRED INFORMATION**

All Projects that are subject to these guidelines must be approved by the university board of trustees and the Board of Governors. The Board of Governors and the State Division of Bond Finance should be notified when a Project is contemplated by the university to come before the trustees for consideration.

In advance of entering into binding agreements for a Project that is subject to these guidelines, a university or DSO shall obtain approval by the Board of Governors. Approval can be requested either prior to soliciting competitive bids or proposals for a Project if the university or DSO provides all necessary information to enable the Board of Governors to reach a determination, or at the conclusion of the negotiation process with the Private Party.

For Projects receiving advance approval from the Board of Governors, the university or DSO shall submit the final draft agreement with the Private Party and a certification to the Chancellor's Office at the conclusion of the negotiation process with the Private Party, but prior to the university or DSO entering into a binding agreement(s), that either: (i) affirms the final Project agreement(s) is within the parameters previously approved by the Board of Governors, or (ii) provides an explanation of the areas where the Project agreement(s) departs from those parameters. If the certification affirms the final Project agreement(s) is within the parameters previously approved by the Board, no further action of the Board is required unless the Chancellor, in consultation with the State Division of Bond Finance, within ten (10) business days of receiving the university or DSO's submission, concludes the final Project Agreement(s) is outside the scope of the prior approval. In that event, or if the certification indicates a departure from the prior approval, the final Project agreement(s) will be submitted to the Board of Governors for consideration.

(a) For Projects that are subject to these Guidelines, the following Project information is required to be submitted to the Board Office and to the State Division of Bond Finance no later than ninety (90) days prior to the meeting at which the issue could be considered by the Board of Governors. Universities may provide the information in advance of the competitive procurement process, or at any stage during the procurement process if seeking prior approval:



1. Evidence of approval of the Project by the university board of trustees for both university and DSO projects, and by the DSO board for DSO projects, or the dates on which all such required approvals have been scheduled and noticed. For advance approval, evidence that the university board of trustees or DSO has approved the parameters of the Project and will be responsible for reviewing and approving the final Project prior to the university or DSO entering into binding agreement(s) for the Project, and the names and qualifications of any external legal and financial experts who will advise the university or DSO.
2. The Facility Program, feasibility studies or consultant reports (if available), and any financial studies or analysis of the financial feasibility of the Project, including the impact of the Project on similar activities of the university.
3. An analysis that provides the quantitative metrics justifying the need for the construction of the Project.
4. A copy of the proposed agreement(s) or a statement of key terms of the proposed agreement(s) with the Private Party, and letter from legal counsel describing, with particularity, the provisions in the agreement(s) that are designed to protect the university or the DSO in the event of a material default by the Private Party. If seeking advance approval, information must be submitted explaining the key terms of the proposed agreement(s) including: (i) the maximum term of the lease and the term of the debt, and provisions designed to protect the university or the DSO in the event of a material default; (ii) a description of financing options and parameters; (iii) what will be required of the Private Party to demonstrate the ability to obtain financing and, if applicable, service the Project; (iv) the type of experience and qualifications the Private Party and its key personnel should possess; (v) the anticipated schedule for solicitation, negotiation, design and construction; (vi) a statement whether the university or DSO will own the Facility upon the end of the term or may have an option to require the Private Party to remove the Facility at its own expense; (vii) a statement explaining options for addressing maintenance and repairs of the Facility; (viii) projections showing anticipated revenues, expenses, debt service, excess cash flow, and the expected return on investment and internal rate of return to the Private Party for the term of the Project; (ix) and an explanation of university or DSO involvement, methodology, limits, or controls in approving initial and future fees or rental rates if student fees or rental rates are a source of revenue for the Project, including a description of

the parameters applicable to such fees or rental rates (e.g., not more than X% above the cost of comparable existing facilities or existing fees).

5. An analysis calculating the expected rate of return for a revenue-generating Project or other appropriate quantitative metrics, and the anticipated uses of any revenues returned to the university or DSO.
6. Information demonstrating the provision of an adequate reserve fund for expenses relating to operations, maintenance and renewal or replacement, if applicable.
7. A cost benefit analysis showing that the P3 methodology is a cost effective method of delivering the Project that provides the best value to the university or DSO, taking into account the availability or unavailability of other funding sources, including: (i) the cost of tax-exempt financing; (ii) the costs of constructing, operating and financing the Project; (iii) any quantifiable savings in operational or other costs; (iv) the benefits to be realized by the university or DSO by using the P3 methodology; (v) and, if the Project will be primarily utilized by students, the cost-benefit to the student that considers the cost of existing campus and market options as compared to the Project and the value added to students in amenities or other material aspects of the Project. A value for money analysis, or other such analysis used by the university in reaching this conclusion may be included.
8. Projected cash flow analyses showing revenues, expenses, debt service, excess cash flow, the distribution of excess cash flow to the university, DSO or Private Party and return on investment and internal rate of return to the Private Party for the term of the Project. The projected cash flow should include any anticipated or planned refinancings by the Private Party and how any such refinancing will affect cash flow distributions to the university, DSO or Private Party and the internal rate of return or return on investment to the Private Party.
9. A description of any purchase option, how the purchase option for the Facility was developed, and the price and terms of the purchase under the option if known at the time the Project agreements are signed, or if not known unless and until the option is later exercised, the methodology for determining the price and terms of the purchase at that time and anticipated funding plan.

10. An explanation of how the Project is consistent with the strategic priorities and mission of the university, with appropriate references to both the university strategic plan and mission statement.
11. A statement that the Project is included in the campus master plan, or is not required to be included in the campus plan, with appropriate references and documentation. The specific location of the Project shall be provided on the current campus map if located on campus.
12. A description of any amounts to be paid to the Private Party by the university or DSO, the purpose of the payment, and the timing and source(s) of revenues for such payment. In addition, an explanation of whether the university or DSO intends to fund any aspect of the Project, and if so, an explanation of the purpose, timing and the source(s) of revenues to be used.
13. A description of any liens or other encumbrances that will be placed on real property owned by the state, university, or DSO.
14. All other information provided to and relied upon by the university board of trustees in making the decision to approve the Project and enter into the agreement as outlined in Section V above; and any other data that the university wishes to be considered by the Board of Governors or Board staff.
15. Identify whether the university or DSO is following the authority provided by section 1013.171 (1), or section 1013.171 (2), or section 1013.171 (3), Florida Statutes.
16. Any other information reasonably requested by the Board of Governors or the State Division of Bond Finance.

(b) The foregoing information shall be submitted to the Board office and the State Division of Bond Finance in duplicate, hard copy, and bound in a three-ring binder, together with one electronic copy. The formal letter of transmission must be signed by the official point of contact for the university or DSO, and specify the type of approval being sought, e.g., regular or advance approval. The letter will identify the legal counsel for the university or DSO, the financial advisor for the university or DSO, and other university or DSO officials as appropriate. All private and public partners will be identified, including contact information, and the source of financing will be identified, unless seeking advance approval.

(c) The information shall be analyzed by Board of Governors staff and by the

State Division of Bond Finance. The Board of Governors staff will consult with the State Division of Bond Finance in reaching a recommendation regarding Board of Governors' approval made in sufficient time for consideration of the Project at the Board of Governors' meeting ninety (90) days following submission by the university or DSO. Should the State Division of Bond Finance disagree with any recommendation of the Board of Governors staff or suggest that any additional information be presented to the Board of Governors, such recommendations or information shall be included with the recommendation provided by the Board of Governors staff to the Board of Governors. Any material amendments to the submission by the university or the DSO after university board of trustees' approval may require re-authorization by the respective boards. The ninety (90) day period in this clause and the following clause may be reduced if the university has kept the Board of Governors' staff and State Division of Bond Finance well informed of the Project and associated material terms in advance of the submission, in which event the Board of Governors staff and State Division of Bond Finance may reasonably agree with the university on a shorter review period in support of the commercial feasibility of the Project. Supporting documentation shall also include a draft resolution to be adopted by the Board of Governors approving the Project and any associated transactions as necessary.

(d) The Board of Governors will consider the following factors in connection with its review and approval of the Project and proposed agreement(s):

1. The Project is necessary to fulfill a need of the university, is consistent with the university's mission and master plan, and is in the best interests of the university.
2. The Project information supports the need, demand, and cost of the Project, and demonstrates that the Project is both financially and operationally a prudent undertaking by the university or DSO, in light of the objectives of the university.
3. The proposed agreement(s) contain adequate recourse for the university or the DSO in the event of a material default by the Private Party.
4. The cost to students, if it is a Project that entails student use, in order to keep the cost of education affordable.
5. The material business terms of the Public Private Partnership, including the distribution of any excess cash flow from the Project to the university, DSO or Private Party and the reasonableness of the related return on investment and internal rate of return.

6. The nature of the auxiliary enterprise and its impact on any existing university or DSO enterprise or system.
7. Any other factors which the Board of Governors determines are appropriate to consider in reaching a decision on any issue relating to the review and approval of the Project and the proposed agreement(s).

(e) Any real property lease or use agreement involving real property owned by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund must receive approval from the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund to the extent required by law or by the university's master lease agreement with the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund.

(f) If circumstances exist such that the university or DSO contemplate any material change in the terms of the Project Agreement after a Project receives Board of Governors' approval, the university or DSO must notify the Board Office and the State Division of Bond Finance within ten (10) business days and in any event, prior to entering into a binding amended agreement with the Private Party. If the change in terms raises any issues regarding the proposed Facilities, financing, activities, or operations to be conducted, the Chancellor shall consult with the Chair of the Facilities Committee about whether to re-submit the proposed transaction to the Board of Governors for further review and approval.

## **VII. TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT**

Depending on the risks presented to the interests of the university or DSO and the type of Project, taking into account the location (on or off campus), purpose, and effect of disruption to use of the Facility (for example, the severity of effects of disruption to a parking facility, office, or dormitory, may be different), any agreement with a Private Party should provide adequate provisions in relation to the risks posed, and generally should include (or have sound justification to not include) the following:

(a) Procedures that govern the rights and responsibilities of the university or DSO and the Private Party in the course of the construction, or construction and operation of the Project, and in the event of the termination of the agreement or a material default by the Private Party. The safeguards for mitigating and managing disruption of use and operations should be proportionate to the risk, including for example, if applicable, restrictive covenants, recognition and subordination agreements, and/or recordation obligations, assumption of the duties and responsibilities of the Private Party by a party that funded, in whole or part, the Project or by the board, or other protections to mitigate and manage foreseeable consequences of a material default

by the Private Party of the Public-Private Partnership or the Private Party's financing. If required by section 1013.171, Florida Statutes, the agreement must provide for the transfer or purchase of property or other interests of the Private Party by the university.

(b) Review of the design of the Facility by the university or DSO and, if the design conforms to standards acceptable to the university or DSO, the approval of the university. This subparagraph does not require the Private Party to complete the design of the Facility before the execution of the agreement.

(c) Delivery of performance and payment bonds, letters of credit, or other security acceptable to the university or DSO in connection with the construction, or construction and operation of the Project, in the form and amount satisfactory to the university or DSO.

(d) Inspection rights of the Facility to ensure that the Private Party's activities are acceptable to the university or DSO in accordance with the agreement.

(e) Maintenance of general liability and property insurance by the Private Party in the form and amount satisfactory to the university or DSO and sufficient to provide reasonable coverage of tort liability to the public and employees and to enable the continued operation of the Facility.

(f) If the agreement includes operation and maintenance of the Facility by the Private Party, maintenance, repair and replacement requirements for the Facility, and monitoring and remedial rights for the university or DSO to ensure that the Facility is properly maintained.

(g) If applicable, periodic filing by the Private Party of the appropriate financial and operating information for the Project and/or Private Party, which may include financial statements and audit rights for the university or DSO, to ensure any requirements in the agreement are met.

(h) A provision that deems the Private Party's failure to fund current operational and maintenance costs if required by the agreement to constitute a material default.

(i) A provision describing all fees to be charged to users for use or occupation of the Facility, and the methodology, limits, approvals for, and circumstances that would allow for increases or decreases to such fees. Such fees should be within the range of fees customarily charged for the use or occupation of like facilities in the State University System, taking into account the purpose, amenities, and location of the Facility.

(j) A provision that outlines the responsibilities of the Private Party, including the terms and conditions that the university or DSO determine serve the best interests of the university.

(k) A provision under which each party agrees to provide notice of default and cure rights for the benefit of the other party.

(l) A provision that requires transfer of the Facility to the university or DSO at the expiration of the agreement or in the event of earlier termination of the agreement, if required by section 1013.171, Florida Statutes, or a provision that otherwise provides for the removal of the Facility and the restoration of the real property as a university or DSO option.

(m) If the Private Party's financing for the Project is secured by a leasehold mortgage or other instrument affecting title to the Facility and the Facility is ultimately to be transferred to the university or DSO, a provision requiring the Private Party to satisfy the same at the conclusion of the term of the agreement or upon earlier termination so that Facility is transferred to the university or DSO without any such encumbrances at the conclusion of the term of the agreement or upon earlier termination.

(n) If the agreement provides to the university or DSO a purchase option at any time prior to the termination of the agreement or a termination payment, a provision describing the terms of the purchase option.

(o) A provision stating that: (i) the full faith and credit of the university, Board of Governors or State of Florida has not been pledged to secure the financing of the Private Party and (ii) if the university or DSO chooses to assume the development or operation of the Facility on account of the Private Party's default, the assumption of the development or operation of the Facility does not obligate the university or DSO to pay an obligation of the Private Party from sources other than revenues from the Facility.

(p) A provision identifying the party responsible for the payment of any property taxes on the Facility, and what entity receives the benefit of any future waiver of property taxes.

(q) A provision that the term of the Project debt should not exceed thirty (30) years after the construction period.

## **VIII. REPORTING REQUIREMENT**

At a minimum, the Private Party should provide an annual report to the university as soon as practical, but not more than ninety (90) days, following the close of the fiscal year. Additionally, the university will comply with any reporting requirements specified in the Project approval resolution of the Board of Governors, which shall, at a minimum, require a comparison of actual results to original projections. To the extent required by generally accepted accounting principles, information regarding any Public Private Partnership arrangement must be included in the university's or DSO's annual financial report, and such financial information as deemed necessary by the State's Chief Financial Officer or Chancellor should be submitted in connection with submissions required by Board Regulation 9.009, Preparation of State University System Financial Statements.

## **IX. BOARD OF GOVERNORS POWERS**

These guidelines provide a framework for review by the Board of Governors of proposals for Public-Private Partnerships involving a university or DSO. The guidelines do not limit the authority of the Board of Governors to review any proposal of a university or DSO. These guidelines do not provide a university or DSO with a legally enforceable right to have a proposal approved by the Board of Governors.