Performance Funding Model 2013-14 Final Metric Score Sheet

Scores in black are based on Excellence.

Scores in orange are based on Improvement.

Metric	FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
1	4	3	3	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	2
2	2	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	5
3	0	3	1	2	2	0	4	3	0	3	0
4	0	5	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	3	5
5	1	0	2	4	5	1	3	5	2	3	4
6	5	5	4	4	2	3	4	5	4	5	5
7	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
8.a	1	4	5	3	1		4	5	3	5	4
8.b						5					
9.a	3	3	3	3			2		3	5	2
9.b					2			3			
9.c						5					
10.a	5										
10.b		5	5	5							
10.c					5						
10.d						5					
10.e							5				
10.f								3			
10.g									5		
10.h										4	
10.i											5
Total Score	26	37	38	38	36	35	39	44	35	42	37

- Metric 1 Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed and/or Continuing their Education Further 1 Yr after Graduation
- Metric 2 Median Average Wages of Undergraduates Employed in Florida 1 Yr after Graduation
- Metric 3 Average Cost per Undergraduate Degree to the Institution
- Metric 4 Six Year Graduation Rates (Full-time and Part-time FTIC)
- Metric 5 Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention with GPA above 2.0)
- Metric 6 Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 7 University Access Rate (Percent of Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)
- Metric 8a Graduate Degreees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 8b Freshman in Top 10% of Graduating High School Class
- Metric 9 Board of Governors' Choice (see detailed sheets)
- Metric 10 Board of Trustees' Choice (see detailed sheets)

Metrics 1 - 5

			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
	Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed	Excellence	69%	74%	74%	77%	69%	51%	74%	72%	76%	75%	67%
1	and/or Continuing their Education Further 1 Yr	Improvement	4%	2%	2%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	2%
	after Graduation	improvement	1 /0	270	270	370	0 70	370	4 /0	370	370	370	2 /0
Excellence Benchmark	5 (80%), 4 (75%), 3 (70%), 2 (65%), 1 (60%)	T	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
	Excellence Score		2	3	3	4	2	0	3	3	4	4	2
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score		4	2	2	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	2
	Higher Score		4	3	3	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	2
	Median Average full-time Wages of	Excellence	\$ 28,800	\$ 36,000	\$ 35,300	\$ 36,200	\$ 31,600	\$ 26,300	\$ 34,900	\$ 34,800	\$ 34,700	\$ 35,200	\$ 32,900
2	Undergraduates Employed in Florida 1 Yr after	Excellence	\$ 20,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 33,300	\$ 30,200	\$ 31,000	\$ 20,300	\$ 34,900	\$ 34,600	\$ 34,700	\$ 33,200	\$ 32,900
	Graduation	Improvement	-4 %	3%	7%	3%	4%	24%	4%	5%	1%	2%	6%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (\$40K), 4 (\$35K), 3 (\$30K), 2 (\$25K), 1 (\$20K)	1											
Excelence benefittativ	Excellence Score		2	4	4	4	3	2	3	3	3	4	3
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)			7								7	
improvement benefitiark	Improvement Score		0	3	5	3	4	5	4	5	1	2	5
	Higher Score		2	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	5
	Tilglici ocole			1	J	1	1	J	1		3	1	
	Average Cost per Undergraduate Degree to the												
3	Institution	Excellence	\$ 40,080	\$ 27,690	\$ 29,390	\$ 25,580	\$ 26,700	\$ 76,720	\$ 22,430	\$ 25,450	\$ 30,750	\$ 25,490	\$ 32,970
3	Histitution	Improvement	8%	-3%	1%	0%	4%	4%	7%	2%	5%	5%	6%
	5 (\$20,400), 4 (\$22,950), 3 (\$25,500), 2 (\$28,050), 1	1											
Excellence Benchmark	(\$30,600)												
	Excellence Score		0	2	1	2	2	0	4	3	0	3	0
Improvement Benchmark	5 (-5%), 4 (-4%), 3 (-3%), 2 (-2%), 1 (-1%)		Ů		· ·		_					Ü	
miprovement penemark	Improvement Score		0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Higher Score		0	3	1	2	2	0	4	3	0	3	0
	There seems		Ü		-	_	_	Ü	-		Ü	9	Ü
	Six Year Graduation Rate (Full-Time and Part-	Excellence	39%	45%	49%	53%	79%	69%	69%	87%	55%	66%	51%
4	Time FTIC)		-2%	5%	6%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	6%	3%	9%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (70%), 4 (67.5%), 3(65), 2 (62.5%), 1 (60%)	Improvement	- 2-/0	370	070	370	Z-70	370	270	170	070	370	970
Excellence benchmark	Excellence Score		0	0	0	0	5	4	4	5	0	3	0
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)		0	U	0	0	3	4	-	J	U	3	U
improvement benchmark	Improvement Score		0	5	5	3	2	3	2	1	5	3	5
	Higher Score		0	5	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	3	5
	riigher score		0		3	3	3	- 4	-		3	9	3
	Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention	Excellence	70%	66%	72%	79%	91%	80%	85%	95%	78%	85%	65%
5	with GPA Above 2.0)	Improvement	1%	-2%	2%	4%	2%	-1%	0%	-1%	2%	0%	4%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (90%), 4 (87.5%), 3 (85%), 2 (82.5%), 1 (80%)	improvement	170	- 2-70	2 70	470	Z -70	-1 7/0	U70	-1 70	2 70	U7/0	470
Excenence Denchmark	Excellence Score	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	3	5	0	3	0
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>				<u>'</u>		J		J	
inprovement benchmark	Improvement Score		1	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	2	0	4
	Higher Score		1	0	2	4	5	1	3	5	2	3	4
	Tingfiel Score			0		T	9	1	3	9		9	1

Metrics 6 - 8

			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
6	Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of	Excellence	51%	55%	45%	46%	38%	42%	49%	55%	45%	51%	50%
б	Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)	Improvement	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	1%	5%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (50%), 4 (45%), 3 (40%), 2 (35%), 1 (30%)					•	•	•	•	•	•		
	Excellence Score		5	5	4	4	2	3	4	5	4	5	5
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)				•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
-	Improvement Score		1	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	0	1	5
	Higher Score		5	5	4	4	2	3	4	5	4	5	5
7	University Access Rate (Percent of	Excellence	62%	41%	35%	51%	30%	29%	38%	32%	33%	42%	40%
,	Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)	Improvement	-4 %	-1 %	0%	1%	-1 %	0%	0%	-1 %	-3%	0%	1%
Excellence Benchmark	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \						1		1	1	1		
	Excellence Score		5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)				_		,		,	,	,		
	Improvement Score		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Higher Score		5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
8.A	0	Excellence	43%	55%	64%	52%	39%		57%	70%	50%	69%	47%
0.11	Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)	Improvement	-1 %	4%	-2%	3%	1%		-4%	1%	-1%	0%	4%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (60%), 4 (55%), 3 (50%), 2 (45%), 1 (40%)												
	Excellence Score		1	4	5	3	0		4	5	3	5	2
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)						_		_		_		
	Improvement Score		0	4	0	3	1		0	1	0	0	4
	Higher Score		1	4	5	3	1		4	5	3	5	4
8.B	Freshman in Top 10% of Graduating High	Excellence						41%					
O.D	School Class	Improvement						6%					
Excellence Benchmark	5 (50%), 4 (45%), 3 (40%), 2 (35%), 1 (30%)												
	Excellence Score							3					
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)					·	•	·	•	•	•		•
-	Improvement Score							5					
	Higher Score							5					

Blank Cells: Metric is not applicable to the institution

Board of Governors Choice Metric 9

			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
9.A	Percent of Bachelor Degrees Without	Excellence	34%	73%	72%	68%			67%		71%	64%	66%
9.A	Excess Hours	Improvement	3%	2%	0%	3%			0%		2%	6%	1%
Excellence Benchmark	5 (80%), 4 (75%), 3 (70%), 2 (65%), 1 (60%)												
	Excellence Score		0	3	3	2			2		3	1	2
Improvement Benchmark	<u>5</u> (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score	-	3	2	0	3			0		2	5	1
9.B	Formation Assessed	Excellence					7			20			
9.6	Faculty Awards	Improvement					-4			2			
Excellence Benchmark	UF : 5 (31), 4 (23), 3 (18), 2 (12), 1 (5) FSU : 5 (25), 4 (15), 3 (11), 2 (7), 1 (4)		•		•					•		•	
	Excellence Score						2			3			
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5), 4 (4), 3 (3), 2 (2), 1 (1)												
	Improvement Score						0			2			
9.C	National Ranking for Institutional &	Excellence						5					
9.0	Program Achievements	Improvement						0					
Excellence Benchmark	5 (5), 4 (4), 3 (3), 2 (2), 1 (1)												_
	Excellence Score			•				5					
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5), 4 (4), 3 (3), 2 (2), 1 (1)												
	Improvement Score							0					
	Higher Score		3	3	3	3	2	5	2	3	3	5	2

Blank Cells: Metric is not applicable to the institution

		Board	of Truste	es Choice	Metric 10	0							
			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
10.A		Excellence	80%										
10.71	External Sources	Improvement	-6%										
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (80%), 4 pts (77.5%), 3 pts (75%), 2 pts (72.5), 1 pt (70%)												
	Excellence Score		5										
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)		1 0	1	1		1	ı					
	Improvement Score Higher Score		5										
	Tilgher Score		3										
		Excellence		43%	452	6,221							
10.B	Bachelor's Degrees Awarded to Minorities	Improvement		1%	6%	370							
Excellence Benchmark	FAU: 5 pts (452), 4 pts (447), 3 pts (442), 2 pts (437), 1 pt (432). FGCU: 5 pts (452), 4 pts (447), 3 pts (442), 2 pts (437), 1 pt (432). FIU: 5 pts (6,100), 4 pts (6,050), 3 pts (6,000), 2 pts (5,950), 1 pt (5,900)												
	Excellence Score			5	5	5							
Improvement Benchmark	FAU, FGCU: 5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%); FIU: 5 (200), 4 (175), 3 (150), 2 (125), 1 (100)			.						1			1
	Improvement Score			1	5	5							
	Higher Score			5	5	5							
	National Rank Higher than Predicted by the	Excellence					119						
10.C	Financial Resources Ranking Based on U.S. and World News Report	Improvement					4%						
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (75), 4 pts (60), 3 pts (45), 2 pts (30), 1 pt (15)					_							
	Excellence Score						5						
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score						4						
	Higher Score						5						
10.D		Excellence						100%					
		Improvement						0%					
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (100%), 4 pts (99%), 3 pts (98%), 2 pts (97%), 1 pt (96%)												
, n , n	Excellence Score				1			5		1]]	
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)		1	ı			ı	0			1	1	
	Improvement Score Higher Score							5					
	Tilgliei Score							3					
	Number of Bachelor Degrees Awarded	Excellence							12,372				
10.E	Annually	Improvement							0%				
Excellence Benchmark	5 pts (12,300), 4 pts (12,250), 3 pts (12,200), 2 pts (12,150), 1 pt (12,100)	•	1			1					1		•
	Excellence Score								5				
Improvement Benchmark	5 (5%), 4 (4%), 3 (3%), 2 (2%), 1 (1%)												
	Improvement Score								0				
	Higher Score		5	5	5	5	5	5	5				

			of Truste		- /								
				DRAFT									
			FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FILL	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
		Excellence	TTHITO	1110	1000	110	150	1101	UCI	\$ 695,000,000	0111	031	
F	Total Research Expenditures	Improvement								-2,000,000			
Č.	5 pts (Top 1/5 of AAU), 4 pts (Second 1/5 of	amprovement.								2,000,000			
	AAU), 3 pts (Third 1/5 of AAU), 2 pts												
	(Fourth 1/5 of AAU), 1 pt (Lowest 1/5 of												
1	AAU)												
	Excellence Score									3			
	5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%),												
	1 pt (1%)		1						T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<u> </u>	Improvement Score									0			
	Higher Score									3			
10 (;		Excellence									11%		
	Distance and Blended Learning	Improvement									2%		
	5 pts (7%), 4 pts (6%), 3 pts (5%), 2 pts (4%),												
	1 pt (3%)	T	1						T	1			
	Excellence Score										5		
	5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%),												
	1 pt (1%) Improvement Score				1				l	1	2		
1	Higher Score										5		
-	Trigher Score										3		
		v. 11										200	
10.H	Number of Postdoctoral Appointees	Excellence										289	
		Improvement										-4 %	
	5 pts (300), 4 pts (275), 3 pts (250), 2 pts (225), 1 pt (200)												
	Excellence Score									I I		4	
E	5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%),											- 1	
	1 pt (1%)												
	Improvement Score											0	
	Higher Score											4	
	8												
7	Number of Adult (25+) Undergraduates	Excellence											32%
	Enrolled (in Fall)	Improvement											1%
E	5 pts (21%), 4 pts (20%), 3 pts (19%), 2 pts	improvement											1/0
	(18%), 1 pt (17%)												
	Excellence Score												5
E	5 pts (5%), 4 pts (4%), 3 pts (3%), 2 pts (2%),	ı	1		1		1	1	ı	1		<u> </u>	
Improvement Benchmark	1 pt (1%)												
J	Improvement Score												1
	Higher Score									3	5	4	5

Blank Cells: Metric is not applicable to the institution