Florida Board of Governors Performance-Based Funding Allocation, 2017-18 June 22, 2017

	Points	Allocation of State Investment	Allocation of Institutional Investment ¹	Total Performance- Based Funding Allocation
FAMU	65	\$0	\$13,905,021	\$13,905,021
FAU	72	\$19,395,004	\$21,769,903	\$41,164,907
FGCU	66	\$0	\$9,704,854	\$9,704,854
FIU	68	\$27,468,290	\$30,831,754	\$58,300,044
FSU	81	\$38,547,492	\$43,267,593	\$81,815,085
NCF	75	\$2,469,535	\$2,771,928	\$5,241,463
UCF	78	\$35,692,230	\$40,062,707	\$75,754,937
UF	95	\$55,061,011	\$48,516,241	\$103,577,252
UNF	58	\$0	\$12,894,229	\$12,894,229
USF	84	\$45,396,585	\$39,206,903	\$84,603,488
UWF	82	\$20,969,853	\$12,068,867	\$33,038,720

Total \$245,000,000 \$275,000,000 \$520,000,000

Notes:

^{*}Institutions scoring 50 points or less or the three lowest scoring universities will not receive any State Investment. Any ties in scores are broken using the tiebreaker policy in Regulation 5.001.

¹ Each university contributed a portion of their institutional budget, for a total of \$275 million, to be allocated based on performance. Universities that scored 51 points or higher receive their full institutional funding restored.

2017 Performance-Based Funding Model 2015-16 Final Metric Score Sheet

Scores in black are based on Excellence.

Scores in orange are based on Improvement

Metric	FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
1	6	7	6	8	6	0	7	8	8	8	10
2	6	10	8	9	8	10	9	10	8	8	8
3	10	4	0	6	4	10	3	8	1	5	2
4	4	0	5	0	10	4	8	10	0	7	3
5	0	5	0	2	10	6	7	10	1	6	10
6	9	10	9	9	7	10	10	10	9	10	9
7	10	10	10	10	8	8	10	9	10	10	10
8.a	10	9	10	9	8		10	10	5	10	10
8.b						7					
9.a	0	7	8	5			4		6	10	10
9.b					10			10			
9.c						10					
10.a	10										
10.b		10	10	10							
10.c					10						
10.d						10					
10.e							10				
10.f								10			
10.g									10		
10.h										10	
10.i											10
Total Score	65	72	66	68	81	75	78	95	58	84	82

- Metric 1 Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed and/or Continuing their Education Further 1 Yr after Graduation
- Metric 2 Median Average Wages of Undergraduates Employed 1 Yr after Graduation
- Metric 3 Net Tuition & Fees per 120 Credit Hours
- Metric 4 Six Year Graduation Rates (Full-time and Part-time FTIC)
- Metric 5 Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention with GPA above 2.0)
- Metric 6 Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 7 University Access Rate (Percent of Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)
- Metric 8a Graduate Degrees Awarded in Areas of Strategic Emphasis (includes STEM)
- Metric 8b Freshman in Top 10% of Graduating High School Class
- Metric 9 Board of Governors' Choice (see detailed sheets)
- Metric 10 Board of Trustees' Choice (see detailed sheets)

		FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
1. Percent of Bachelor's Graduates Employed	Excellence	64.6%	67.5%	65.8%	69.0%	63.7%	41.8%	66.2%	69.4%	68.7%	69.6%	67.6%
and/or Continuing their Education (1 Yr after Graduation)	Improvement	2.8%	-1.0%	1.5%	0.3%	2.9%	-1.9%	1.1%	1.8%	2.2%	2.5%	6.5%
F.,11	1	(7		Ō		0	7	0	0	ō	7
Excellence Score		6	7	6	8	6	0	7	8	8	8	7
Immunovom ont Caono		5	0	3	0	5	0	2	3	4	5	10
Improvement Score		6	7	6	8	6	0	7	8	8	8	10
Higher Score		6	7	0	0	6	0	/	0	0	0	10
2. Median Wages of Bachelor's Graduates Employed Full-time One Year After Graduation	Excellence	\$ 32,700	\$ 38,700	\$ 36,300	\$ 38,800	\$ 35,700	\$ 26,500	\$ 38,600	\$ 40,700	\$ 37,000	\$ 38,000	\$ 36,700
	Improvement	2.2%	5.2%	3.1%	3.7%	4.4%	6.0%	4.3%	6.0%	2.5%	3.5%	3.7%
Excellence Score		6	9	8	9	8	4	9	10	8	8	8
				_					4-	_		
Improvement Score		4	10	6	7	8	10	8	10	5	7	7
Higher Score		6	10	8	9	8	10	9	10	8	8	8
3. Net Tuition & Fees per 120 Credit Hours	Excellence	\$ 12,640	\$ 16,540	\$ 18,790	\$ 17,180	\$ 14,930	\$ 5,920	\$ 15,280	\$ 10,660	\$ 17,260	\$ 13,170	\$ 16,340
	Improvement	-8.6%	-2.2%	0.5%	-3.3%	-0.3%	-27.7%	-0.3%	6.0%	-0.2%	-2.7%	5.7%
Excellence Score		6	2	0	1	4	10	3	8	1	5	2
Improvement Score		10	4	0	6	0	10	0	0	0	5	0
-			4	0		4	10	3	8	1	5	2
Higher Score		10	4	U	6	4	10	3	8	1	3	2
4. Six Year Graduation Rate (Full-Time and Part-	Excellence	40.7%	49.2%	45.5%	54.8%	80.0%	63.4%	68.3%	87.2%	53.0%	66.3%	48.3%
Time FTIC)	Improvement	2.0%	0.4%	2.5%	-2.0%	0.7%	-7.1%	-1.9%	0.7%	-1.0%	-1.5%	1.6%
,	1											
Excellence Score		0	0	0	0	10	4	8	10	0	7	0
Improvement Score		4	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3
Higher Score		4	0	5	0	10	4	8	10	0	7	3
		_		-			_					
5. Academic Progress Rate (2nd Year Retention	Excellence	74.6%	74.7%	72.9%	80.8%	90.4%	84.3%	86.5%	95.5%	75.4%	86.1%	70.1%
with GPA Above 2.0)	Improvement	-0.8%	2.5%	-0.6%	0.4%	-0.6%	3.0%	-0.1%	0.9%	0.8%	1.1%	5.2%
·												
Excellence Score		0	0	0	2	10	5	7	10	0	6	0
Improvement Score		0	5	0	0	0	6	0	1	1	2	10
Higher Score		0	5	0	2	10	6	7	10	1	6	10
Tilgilet Score		· ·		U	_	10	9		10	1	9	10

		FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
6. Bachelor's Degrees Awarded in Areas of	Excellence	48.0%	52.7%	47.9%	47.7%	42.8%	45.9%	52.0%	56.9%	48.7%	59.0%	49.5%
Strategic Emphasis	Improvement	<i>-</i> 1.7%	-1.4%	3.2%	0.8%	3.8%	6.3%	2.3%	0.8%	4.0%	4.4%	-1.7%
Excellence Score		9	10	9	9	7	8	10	10	9	10	9
Improvement Score		0	0	6	1	7	10	4	1	8	8	0
Higher Score		9	10	9	9	7	10	10	10	9	10	9
	Excellence	65.4%	41.8%	31.9%	51.4%	27.7%	28.3%	39.8%	29.7%	32.1%	41.2%	41.3%
Undergraduates with a Pell Grant)	Improvement	0.7%	-0.5%	-2.3%	0.3%	-0.7%	-1.6%	0.4%	- 1.9%	-0.5%	-1.8%	-0.3%
Excellence Score		10	10	10	10	8	8	10	9	10	10	10
			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Improvement Score		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Higher Score		10	10	10	10	8	8	10	9	10	10	10
8a. Graduate Degrees Awarded in Areas of	Excellence	58.2%	59.4%	65.3%	58.7%	46.0%		63.4%	70.3%	48.9%	74.6%	44.0%
Strategic Emphasis	Improvement	6.7%	-1.8%	5.2%	4.6%	4.0%		1.7%	1.1%	- 1.1%	1.9%	5.1%
	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Excellence Score		9	9	10	9	4		10	10	5	10	3
					_	_	1	_				
Improvement Score		10	0	10	9	8		3	2	0	3	10
Higher Score		10	9	10	9	8		10	10	5	10	10
	Excellence						43.0%					
High School Class - for NCF only	Improvement						-2.0%					
	-		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	
Excellence Score							7					
Improvement Score							0					
Higher Score							7					

		FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
9a. Percentage of Bachelor's Degrees	Excellence	28.3%	73.2%	75.6%	69.1%			66.3%		71.7%	75.6%	80.5%
Awarded Without Excess Hours	Improvement	-0.7%	-1.3%	-0.4%	0.1%			-2.9%		-0.2%	9.8%	4.7%
Excellence Score		0	7	8	5			4		6	8	10
Improvement Score	-	0	0	0	0			0		0	10	9
9b. Faculty Awards	Excellence					7			21			
Jo. Tacuity Hwards	Improvement					5			6			
Excellence Score		1				4			7			
Excellence Score						7			,			
Improvement Score						10			10			
						10			10			
9c. National Ranking for Institutional	Excellence						5					
The state of the s	Improvement						0					
Excellence Score							10					
Improvement Score							0					
Higher Score		0	7	8	5	10	10	4	10	6	10	10

		FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
10a. Percent of R&D Expenditures Funded	Excellence	80.0%										
from External Sources	Improvement	-1.0%										
	_	•				•				•		•
Excellence Score		10										
	 	ı	•	T	1	1	ı		ı	1	ı	1
Improvement Score		0										
Higher Score	2	10										
10b Bachelor's Degrees Awarded to Minorities	Excellence		45.6%	549	84.2%							
	Improvement		0.4%	8.9%	-1.1%							
Excellence Score			10	10	10			_				
Improvement Score			0	10	0							
Higher Score			10	10	10							
10c. National Rank Higher than Predicted by	Excellence					120						
the Financial Resources Ranking Based on						F 20/						
U.S. and World News Report	Improvement					5.3%						
					•							
Excellence Score						10						
_		T		ı	1		1		ı	T	1	1
Improvement Score						10						
Higher Score						10						
10d. Percent of Undergraduate Seniors	Excellence						100.0%					
Participating in a Research Course	Improvement						0.0%					
Excellence Score		I	1	I	I		10		1		1	1
Excellence Score							10					
Improvement Score							0					
Higher Score							10					
10e. Number of Bachelor Degrees Awarded	Excellence							12,832				
Annually	Improvement							1.6%				
,								1.070				
			_		1	1		1	1			
Excellence Score								10				
Improvement Score								3				
Higher Score								10				

		FAMU	FAU	FGCU	FIU	FSU	NCF	UCF	UF	UNF	USF	UWF
10f. Number of Licenses/Options Executed	Excellence								3			
Annually (Ranking)	Improvement								0.0%			
			T				_			_		
Excellence Score									10			
	<u></u>	T	T	1		ı		П	-		T	
Improvement Score									0			
Higher Score									10			
10g. Percent of Undergraduate FTE in	Excellence									16.2%		
Online Courses	Improvement									2.2%		
Excellence Score										10		
	t	ı	ı	1		ı		ı			1	
Improvement Score										4		
Higher Score										10		
10h. Number of Postdoctoral Appointees	Excellence										300	
Ton: Number of Tostdoctoral Appointees	Improvement										-6.5%	
	1		T				_			_		
Excellence Score											10	
	<u></u>	T	T	1		ı		П	1		T -	
Improvement Score											0	
Higher Score											10	
10i. Number of Adult (Aged 25+)	Excellence											31.7%
Undergraduates Enrolled (in Fall)	Improvement											0.9%
	T	r	r	, ,		r		T	1		1	
Excellence Score												10
	Γ	ı	Γ	1		T		Γ	1		ı	
Improvement Score												1
Higher Score												10