

Florida State University Office of Budget and Analysis 321 Westcott Building Tallahassee, Florida 32306-1360 Phone (850) 644-4203 Fax (850) 644-9622

| ro: Debra | Hustin |
|--|--|
| FAX NUMBER: 2 | FAX # of pages |
| FROM: Jill K | siewski 12 |
| DATE: 10-14-6 | (Including coverpage) |
| | |
| COMMENTS: | Following is the Request |
| 5H | Following is the Request rategic Plan Y-Axis. |
| | |
| | |
| The state of the s | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | RECEIPT REQUESTED: YES NO (circle one) |
| (If confirmation is requ | ested, please call sender when document is received. Thank you.) |



THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY Tallaliassee, Florida 32306-1310

Office of the Provost and Executive Vice President 212 Westcott Building (850) 644-1816 * FAX (850) 644-0172

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

October 14, 2004

TO:

Debra Austin

FROM:

Lawrence G. Abele Lyalule

RE:

Strategic Plan Y-Axis

Enclosed is Florida State University's response to the Y-axis. In order to complete the Y-axis several steps were necessary. First, using ten-year historical trends, we projected the number degrees by target area. The projections were then adjusted to reflect the mission and direction of the university. These numbers match our submission to the Division of Colleges and Universities for degrees awarded through 2013-14.

Access/Diversity: Our projections continue to show progress on this indicator; however, it will be difficult to have an impact on the 2008-09 graduating students since most of these students have already been accepted and admitted into the university. The 2012-13 goal reflects our ability to compete more effectively for the limited pool that is estimated to be available beginning in 2008-09. Both 2008-09 and 2012-13 targets will require improvements in retention and graduation rates of minorities since the universities' overall enrollment is projected to increase. The major elements of our analysis regarding these estimates are attached for your review.

The following methodology was used to estimate the research expenditures: Total Research Expenditures: Data are based on the NSF's Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and Colleges, beginning with FSU's reported figures for FY03. Projected figures for FY09 and FY13 were increased by 7.87% annually (constant dollars), which is in keeping with the percent increase recommended by the BOG. This percent increase also mirrors the projected

increase based on a five-year history of FSU's actual increases (7.18% increase annually). Values are expressed per full-time faculty and are based on projected faculty numbers in the respective years.

Federal Research Expenditures: Data are based on the NSF's Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and Colleges, beginning with FSU's reported figures for FY03. Projected figures for FY09 and FY13 were increased by 9.38% annually (constant dollars), which is in keeping with the percent increase recommended by the BOG. This percent increase is somewhat less than the projected increase based on a five-year history of FSU's actual increases (6.32% increase annually), but is still reasonable based on an increased emphasis by the Vice President for Research on federal funding. Values are expressed per full-time faculty and are based on projected faculty numbers in the respective years.

<u>C&G Research Expenditures:</u> Data are based on the SUS Operating Budget, beginning with FSU's reported figures for FY03. Projected figures for FY09 and FY13 were increased by 7.87% annually (constant dollars), which is in keeping with the percent increase recommended by the BOG. This percent increase mirrors the projected increase based on a three-year history of FSU's actual increases (7.96% increase annually).

Association of Arherican Universities Membership: FSU has added a goal of making significant progress toward being invited to become an AAU member institution. We are working hard to improve our retention and graduate rates. The average test scores of our entering freshmen continue to increase, we are working to improve our national reputation, renewed efforts are being placed on annual giving and we are making gains in our research expenditures.

<u>U.S. Patents Issued:</u> Data are based on actual and projected patent activity. We are showing a decrease in activity for three reasons: (1) many of our inventions of taxol-related compounds are being patented under an agreement with a private company and therefore are not listed as FSU patents, (2) because of the projected decrease in patent applications associated with declining Taxol activity, and (3) an emphasis by the Office of Research on pursing only those patents that have an increased chance for producing revenue. Values are expressed per 1,000 full-time faculty and are based on projected faculty numbers in the respective years.

National Research Council Rankings and Other Forms of Recognition: The National Research Council does not rank many of FSU's programs. Several programs either are or will be recognized nationally. For example, the FSU School of Criminology was recently tied for #3 in a survey of criminologists from the Directory of the American Society of Criminology and the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences. We are continuing to identify other forms of recognition for our programs and faculty. An addendum will be provided in the next few days.

Centers of Excellence: Professor Sir Harold Kroto's (Nobel-prize winning chemist) recent move provides the State and the university the opportunity to make a move to create a Center of Excellence in bio-nanotechnology. The Center of Excellence for Bio-NanoTechnology (CBNT) is a rapidly growing discipline that combines the function of biological molecules with nano-fabricated materials to yield unique devices. The CBNT will focus on the development of nano-machines powered by biological motors and biologically based sensors for medical, environmental monitoring, and security applications. CBNT will be the home of an interdisciplinary team dedicated to cutting-edge research and development in bio-nanotechnology.

In like fashion, the university hopes to attract state funding in the area of the Center for Computational Sciences. This Center will develop and foster an interdisciplinary research atmosphere and a culture for large-scale high performance computing, in which research scientists from the sectors of national laboratories, industries and academia come together and provide cross-fertilization of ideas and approaches from diverse disciplines, thereby, advancing our fundamental understanding of computational, information science & technology. The Center will acquire and maintain the state-of-the-art computing and visualization hardware that adequately supports the ambitious research and education agenda, to research and develop software for efficient use of the hardware and will ensure Florida is a leader in this field.

We hope to establish one additional Center of Excellence in 2012-13.

Meeting Community Needs: FSU continues to focus on community needs. The FSU Office of K-12 Initiatives was established in January 2000, to increase collaboration, joint research, and enhanced service learning in partnership with PreK-12 schools other universities, businesses, governmental agencies and the community. The Office of K12 Initiatives provides coordination and support for collaborative efforts to seek and provide resources for Readiness and K12 projects.

The reading research center is the latest component of FSU's K-12 initiatives, which establishes partnerships with public schools to promote a "seamless" education system. The Center is a joint project of the Learning Systems institute, the College of Arts and Sciences and the College of Education at FSU. This Center partners with the Florida Department of Education, the University of Central Florida and Reading Excellence Center.

An area where FSU meets the community needs is through community-based medical programs and rural medical education training sites. In partnership with Florida communities, the FSU College of Medicine has created a new model of medical education and research that uses interdisciplinary teams and emerging technologies. Clinical training takes place on the front lines of the health-care delivery system throughout the state. The emphasis is on ambulatory care settings such as physicians' clinics, HMOs, and chronic care facilities in rural, urban and suburban areas. Because the FSU College of Medicine partners with existing

medical facilities and practitioners throughout the state, students experience a broad spectrum of community-based medical care. Regional medical school campuses have been established in Orlando, Pensacola, Sarasota and Tallahassee, and are planned for Ft. Myers and Jacksonville. Nonprofit community corporations in each location provide community representation and input into the medical education program, as well as planning and coordination of student clinical experiences.

To reach our goal to become a top ranked public research university, FSU will need to reduce class sizes, add additional faculty, attain competitive faculty salaries, increase graduate student support and replace technology at a level to retain state of the art equipment. We will reallocate resources to our highest priorities; however, we will also need to establish constant, dependable resources.

We look forward to working with you and the Board on this initiative. If you have questions, please let me know.

BOARD OF GOVERNORS STRATEGIC PLANNING/EDUCATIONAL POLICY COMMITTEE

Strategic Planning for the State University System Y-Axis

| c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-3) totales) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 2. U.S. Parents I severi per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | 7,838 2,360 444 473 11,115 58.1% 11,115 3,710 33,4% 236 1,964 |
|--|---|
| A Access to and Production of Degrees 1. Babalor 1. Babalor 2. Masser's 3. Doctoral's 4. Professional 4. Professional 5. Access/Diversity: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Expected Representation Expected Representation 53.994 55.296 B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Meeting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) S. 2,564 3,945 3,945 5,296 3,970 5,296 3,972 1,774 1,774 1,775 1,77 | 2,360 444 473 11,115 58.1% 11,115 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| 1. Bachelor | 2,360 444 473 11,115 58.1% 11,115 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| 2. Master's 2.90 3.68 3. Doctoral* 2.42 32.5 4. Profressional 2.42 32.5 TOTAL 3. Doctoral* 3. Doctoral* 3. Journal | 444 473 11,115 58.1% 11,115 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| 3. Doctoral* 4. Professional 5. Access/Diversity: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Expected Representation 5. Access/Diversity: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Expected Representation 5. Access/Diversity: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Expected Representation 5. Access/Diversity: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation 5. Access/Diversity: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority: Minority: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Superiority: Minority: Min | 473 11,115 58.1% 11,115 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| A. Professional TOTAL TOTAL S. Access/Diversity: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Expected Representation B. Mesting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and welkforce needs (details to support LA.) B. J. J. S. J. | 11,115 58.1% 11,115 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| TOTAL 5. Access/Diversity: Minority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Expected Representation 53.9% 55.2% B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) Total Degrees 1 | 58.1% 18,115 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| 5. Access/Diversity: Mimority Representation in SUS Graduates as Percentage of Representation B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Spill 9,928 TOTAL Degrees 2.564 3.445 Tortal Degrees at % of All Degrees 30.1% 31.7% Targeted Program Degrees at % of All Degrees 197 198 1. Critical Needs: Education 2. Critical Needs: Health Professions 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies 1.350 1.665 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies 4. Biss 332 5. Natural Science and Health Care 4. Computer Science and Health Care 5 13 4. Computer Science and Health Care 6. Design and Construction 6. Biscronic Media and Simulation 6. Biscronic Media and Simulation 6. Biscronic Development: High-wage/high-demand jobs 5. Educated citizenty/workforce (not specifically targeted) C. Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Educated citizenty/workforce (not specifically targeted) 1. Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Stated Invitation/Application Stated Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Educated citizenty per 1000 full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Stated Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Total Research Expenditures (2002-03 dollars) 5. Stated Total Research Expenditur | 11,115 3,710 33,4% 249 236 |
| B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) B. Mesting statewide professional statements and statements a | 11,115 3,710 33,4% 249 236 |
| B. Mesting statewide professional and workforce needs (details to support LA.) TOTAL Begress | 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| TOTAL Degrees 5,511 5,245 TOTAL Degrees in Targeted Programs 2,564 3,445 Targeted Program Degrees at % of All Degrees 30.1% 31.7% Targeted Program Degrees at % of All Degrees 30.1% 31.7% 1. Critical Needs: Bducation 197 198 2. Critical Needs: Health Professions 1,64 196 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies 1,350 1,665 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technology 183 332 5. Natural Science and Manufacturing 183 332 5. Natural Science and Technology 310 397 5. Medical Science and Health Care 5 13 6. Computer Science and Halin Care 783 830 7. Design and Construction 69 93 7. Bectronic Medic and Simulation 69 93 7. Building world-class acadentic programs and research capacity 7. Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 510,856 5140,1777 7. Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. However, Internal Professional Progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5105,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5105,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5105,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600 | 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| TOTAL Degrees 5,511 5,245 TOTAL Degrees in Targeted Programs 2,564 3,445 Targeted Program Degrees at % of All Degrees 30.1% 31.7% Targeted Program Degrees at % of All Degrees 30.1% 31.7% 1. Critical Needs: Bducation 197 198 2. Critical Needs: Health Professions 1,64 196 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies 1,350 1,665 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technology 183 332 5. Natural Science and Manufacturing 183 332 5. Natural Science and Technology 310 397 5. Medical Science and Health Care 5 13 6. Computer Science and Halin Care 783 830 7. Design and Construction 69 93 7. Bectronic Medic and Simulation 69 93 7. Building world-class acadentic programs and research capacity 7. Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 510,856 5140,1777 7. Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. However, Internal Professional Progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5165,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5105,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5105,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600,000 5105,700,000 7. His Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5120,600 | 3,710 33.4% 249 236 |
| TOTAL Begrees in Targeted Programs Targeted Program Degrees as % of All Degrees 107 198 1. Critical Needs: Education 2. Critical Needs: Health Professions 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies 4. Mechanical Science and Manufacturing 5. Natural Science and Manufacturing 6. Natural Science and Health Care 7. Educated Citizen Science and Health Care 7. Educated Citizen Science and Health Care (not specifically targeted) 7. Research Expenditures per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 8. Educated Citizen Science (not specifically targeted) 8. Research Expenditures per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 9. Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 9. Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 10. Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 11. Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 12. Les Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 13. Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 14. Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 15. Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) 16. Research Expenditures Per fullytime faculty (2002-03 dollars) | 33.4% 249 236 |
| Targeted Frogram Degrees at % of All Degrees 1. Critical Needs: Education 2. Critical Needs: Health Professions 1. Beconomic Development: Emerging Technologies 1. Beconomic Development: Emerging Technologies 1. Beconomic Development: Emerging Technology 1. Natural Science and Manufacturing 1. Natural Science and Technology 1. Natural Science and Health Care 1. Medical Science and Health Care 1. Computer Science and Information Technology 1. Design and Construction 1. Electronic Media and Simulation 2. Electronic Media and Simulation 3. Educated citizenry/workfance (not specifically targeted) 3. Educated citizenry/workfance (not specifically targeted) 3. Electronic Media and Simulation 3. Electronic Media and Electronic Media and Simulation 3. Electronic Media and Electronic | 249 236 |
| 1. Critical Needs: Education 2. Critical Needs: Health Professions 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies 1.350 1.665 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies 1.350 1.665 3. Mechanical Science and Manufacturing 1. Stience and Manufacturing 1. Natural Science and Technology 1. Stience and Technology 1. Medical Science and Health Care 1. Medical Science and Information Technology 1. Computer Science and Information Technology 1. Electronic Media and Simulation 1. Electronic Media and Simulation 1. Electronic Media and Simulation 1. Electronic Development High-wage/high-demand jobs 3. Educated citizzeny/worksistee (not specifically targeted) 3. Educated citizzeny/worksistee (not specifically targeted) 3. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 3. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 3. Stated | 236 |
| 2. Critical Needs: Health Professions 3. Boonomic Development: Energing Technologies 1,350 1,665 2. A Mechanical Science and Manufacturing 2. A Mechanical Science and Manufacturing 310 397 2. Matural Science and Health Care 310 397 2. Medical Science and Health Care 310 397 397 397 397 398 390 399 390 390 390 390 390 390 390 390 | |
| 3. Beonomic Development: Emerging Technologies a. Mechanical Science and Manufacturing b. Natural Science and Technology c. Medical Science and Technology c. Medical Science and Health Care d. Computer Science and Health Care d. Computer Science and Information Technology c. Design and Construction f. Biscronic Media and Simulation 4. Economic Development: High-wage/high-demand jobs S. Educated citizenry/workfurce (not specifically targeted) C. Building world-class academic programs and research capacity 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures c. Research expenditures d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 1. U.S. Parents Leavet per 1000 full-time faculty 1. L.S. Parents Leavet per 1000 full-time faculty | 1,964 |
| a. Mechanical Science and Manufacturing b. Natural Science and Manufacturing c. Medical Science and Health Care c. Medical Science and Health Care d. Computer Science and Information Technology c. Design and Construction f. Electronic Media and Simulation d. Economic Development: High-wage/high-demand jobs f. Educated citizenty/workforce (not specifically targeted) C. Huilding world-class academic programs and research capacity l. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 2. LUS Patents Issued part (2000 full-time faculty 13.8 183 232 180 387 380 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 381 380 380 381 380 380 380 380 380 380 380 380 380 380 | |
| a. Mescantical Scrience and Technology b. Natural Science and Technology c. Medical Science and Health Care d. Computer Science and Health Care d. Computer Science and Information Technology c. Design and Construction f. Electronic Media and Simulation d. Economic Development: High-wage/high-demand jobs 5. Educated citizenry/workfisnee (not specifically targeted) C. Building world-class academic programs and research capacity 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grams (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU invitation/Application Started 2. LUS Potents Issued per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | 408 |
| b. Natural Science and Health Care c. Medical Science and Health Care d. Computer Science and Information Technology c. Design and Construction f. Electronic Media and Simulation 4. Economic Development: High-wage/high-derisand jobs 5. Educated citizenry/workfurce (not specifically targeted) C. Building world-class academic programs and research capacity 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 2. U.S. Peterts Lesser per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | 449 |
| d. Computer Science and Information Technology e. Design and Construction f. Electronic Media and Simulation 4. Economic Development: High-wage/high-derisand jobs 5. Educated citizenry/workfarce (not specifically targeted) C. Building world-class academic programs and research capacity 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 2. U.S. Peterts Lesseri per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | 22 |
| d. Computer Science and monitorial featurity e. Design and Construction f. Electronic Media and Simulation 4. Economic Development: High-wage/high-derivand jobs 5. Educated citizenry/workfistee (not specifically targeted) C. Building world-class academic programs and research capacity 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution T. U.S. Patents I savet per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | 967 |
| e. Design and Construction f. Electronic Media and Simulation 4. Economic Development: High-wage/high-demand jobs 5. Educated citizenry/workfunce (not specifically targeted) 6. Building world-class academic programs and research capacity 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU invitation/Application Started 2. U.S. Peterts Issued per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | 118 |
| f. Electronic Media and Similation 4. Economic Development: High-wage/high-demand jobs 5. Educated citizenry/workfunce (not specifically targeted) 6. Building world-class academic programs and research capacity 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 3. U.S. Peterts Issued per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | |
| 4. Beonomic Development: High-wage right-line and just 5. Educated citizenry/workfunce (not specifically targeted) 5. Educated citizenry/workfunce (not specifically targeted) 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research Expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU invitation/Application Started 2. U.S. Perents Issueri per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | 1,261 |
| 5. Educated citizenry/workfisnee (not specifically targeted) 1. Research Expenditures 2. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 3. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 5. Search Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) 6. Research Expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) 7. U.S. Patents Issued per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | 1,201. |
| C. Building world-class academic programs and research capacity 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU mober institution The progress institution Started 13.8 10.6 | E |
| 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 2. U.S. Parents I sayed per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | |
| 1. Research Expenditures a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 2. U.S. Parents I sayed per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | |
| a. Total Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) b. Federal Research Expenditures per full-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 2. U.S. Patents I saudi per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 \$10,800 \$110,8 | 01/500 |
| b. Federal Research Expenditures per fifti-time faculty (2002-03 dollars) c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 2. U.S. Persents Jesued per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 10.6 | \$165,72 |
| c. Research expenditures - Contracts and Grants (2002-03 dollars) d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 3 U.S. Parents I sayed per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 40.6 | \$101,14 |
| d. Make significant progress towards the goal of being invited to become a AAU member institution 3. U.S. Parents I sayed per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 40.6 | \$204,700,00 |
| member institution Started 2. U.S. Parents Jesuell per 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 40.6 | |
| 2 ITS Popents leaved our 1000 full-time faculty 13.8 40.6 | |
| A (1.5, Pareitta indicat dei 1000 intili dillo (nont), | 6. |
| 3. National Research Council rankings (Number of ranked programs and, of those, | |
| | |
| number in top 25% nationally) | |
| 4 Center(s) of Excellence 0 2 | 299. |
| 5. Doctoral degrees per 1000 full-time faculty 6. Other Forms of National Recognition for Institutions' Academic and Research | 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1- |
| | |
| Programs 1 | **** |
| a. Faculty Admitted to the National Academies in the last live years | 1 |
| h Blobit (Tied Nobblets | |
| c. Nobel Prizes, Pulitzer Prizes and MacArthur Fellowships awarded to faculty in | |
| last five years | |
| d. Academic Programs that will Receive National Recognition a Number of Paculty Who Receive an Oscar Thought I will be a program of Paculty Who Receive an Oscar Though I will be a program of Paculty Who Receive an Oscar Though I will be a program of Paculty Who Receive an Oscar Though I will be a program of Paculty Who Receive an Oscar Though I will be a program of Paculty Who Receive an Oscar Though I will be a program of Paculty Who Receive All the | |
| | |
| f. Number of Faculty Who Receive a Tony Award | |
| | , ., ., ., |
| D. Meeting community needs and fulfilling unique institutional responsibilities See Attached Memorandum | |

Establishing Diversity/Access Benchmarks at FSU

Preliminary Estimation: September 2004

1. Strategic targets at the state level: access/diversity

| | | 54,863 | |
|------|------|--------|--------|
| 2003 | 74% | 54,863 | 41.58% |
| 2009 | 89% | 68,927 | 43.42% |
| 2013 | 100% | 80,253 | 44.50% |

2. Minority Graduate Representativeness Formula (MGR)

3. Calculating the Minority Graduates in target years

4. Statewide Minority Graduate Targets

| | THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF |
|------|--|
| 2003 | 16,880 |
| 2009 | 26,636 |
| 2013 | 35,712 |

5. Statewide Actual Minority Graduates 2003

| Charles a | | | era di Palara Tayloria (Ali | | |
|-----------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|-----|--------|
| Afr/Am | 5,105 | 1,145 | 77 | 160 | 6.487 |
| Hispanic | 5,624 | 1,448 | 71 | 120 | 7,263 |
| Other | 1,970 | 483 | 42 | 110 | 2,605 |
| • • • | | | | | 16,355 |

6. FSU Targets

| 2003 | 8,511 | 53.9% | 41.58% |
|------|--------|-------|--------|
| 2009 | 9,928 | 55.2% | 43.42% |
| 2013 | 11,115 | 58.1% | 44.50% |

7. Implications of FSU Minority Graduates targeting scheme

| | Last of Epselle biolicies Ch | mintales as proposed and the characteristic and the |
|------|------------------------------|---|
| 2003 | 1907_ | |
| 2009 | 2379 | 3.75% |
| 2013 | 2873 | 4.83% |

8. Implications for FSU Minority Graduates Using State targeting scheme

| | i de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania de la compania del compania | |
|------|---|--------|
| 2003 | 1907 | |
| 2009 | 3414 | 10.19% |
| 2013 | 4946 | 9.71% |

9. Perspectives on FSU Minority Graduate Targets: What increase in minority graduates would be required in order to produce either the FSU targeted increase or the State targeting increase at FSU?

| 2009 | 1,507 | 472 | |
|------|-------|-----|-----|
| 2013 | 1,532 | 494 | * . |

10. Perspectives on the Targets: FSU Enrollees SAT Score Range 2003

| | E CONTRACTOR | 000,000,000 | [[] (0] [] (2] [] [] (3] | Property Company | | (a) Fill and the second of the second |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|
| Percentage | 0.2% | 1.1% | 18.7% | 27.5% | 34.5% | 17.9% |
| Number FIIC | 4 | 29 | 474 | 697 | 872 | 454 |

- 11. Number of High School Graduate Test Takers Meeting SAT Levels of 1070 = 33.58% on 25,410 in 2002
- 12. Number of Minority School Graduate <u>Test Takers</u> Meeting SAT Levels of 1070 = 21.31% or 4,821 in 2003 (900/9018 = 9.98% Black, 1257/2903 = 43.3% Asian, 2664/10700 = 24.90% Hispanic)
- 13. Number of test takers as % of Graduates in 2002 = 59.09 % or 128,050
- 14. Nota Bene: Graduates in 2009 enter in 2003 or 2004 or 2005 Graduates in 2013 enter in 2007 or 2008 or 2009

15. Forecast of Graduates from all Florida High Schools

Graduates in 2003, 2004, 2005 average = 147,160 Graduates in 2007, 2008, 2009 average = 170,004

| | | Fiorida | | Estimated |
|------|---|------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | P | ublic High | Estimated | Public and |
| | | School | Private HS | Private HS |
| | G | raduates 1 | Graduates ² | Graduates ² |
| 1986 | | 83,763 | 8,879 | 92,642 |
| 1987 | | 84,402 | 8, 9 47 | 93,349 |
| 1988 | | 91,914 | 9,743 | 101,657 |
| 1989 | | 93,046 | 9,863 | 102,909 |
| 1990 | | 91,716 | 9,722 | 101,438 |
| 1991 | | 89,512 | 9,488 | 99,000 |
| 1992 | | 94,235 | 9,989 | 104,224 |
| 1993 | | 92,590 | 9,815 | 102,405 |
| 1994 | | 91,517 | 9,884 | 101, 4 01 |
| 1995 | | 93,299 | 10,263 | 103,562 |
| 1996 | | 93,468 | 10,468 | 103,934 |
| 1997 | | 98,350 | 11,114 | 109,4 6 4 |
| 1998 | | 101,148 | 11,935 | 113,083 |
| 1999 | | 105,673 | 12,892 | 118,565 |
| 2000 | | 110,615 | 13,384 | 123,999 |
| 2001 | | 115,696 | 14,115 | 129,811 |
| 2002 | | 123,477 | 15,188 | 138,665 |
| 2003 | | 128,073 | 15,881 | 143,954 |
| 2004 | | 128,235 | 16,029 | 144,264 |
| 2005 | | 136,111 | 17,150 | 153,261 |
| 2006 | | 139,253 | 17,685 | 156,938 |
| 2007 | | 145,146 | 18,579 | 163,725 |
| 2008 | | 150,887 | 19,464 | 170,351 |
| 2009 | | 155,696 | 20,240 | 175,936 |
| 2010 | | 159,451 | 20,888 | 180,339 |

- 16. Percent minorities of all receiving standard diplomas 2003
 - a. White Non-Hispanic 59.69%
 - b. Black Non Hispanic-19.16
 - c. Hispanic
- -- 17.34%
- d. Other
- -- 3.81%

17. Number of minorities estimated receiving standard diplomas statewide (assume 2003 rate% and average for class)

| 2003 class (retro | 23,567 | 21,328 | 4.686 | |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--|---------|
| intro 2000) | | | COPPLICATION OF THE COPPLI | , |
| 2009 class | 28,196 | 25,518 | 5,607 | |
| 2013 class | 32,573 | 29,479 | 6,477 | ******* |

18. Number of Minorities Eligible by SAT 1070 to enter FTIC with success on SAT increased to 11% Black, 27% Hispanic, 40% Other for 2009 and 15% Black, 30% Hispanic and 40% Other for 2013

| 2003 class (retr | o 2356 | 5332 | 1874 | 9,562 |
|------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| to 2000) | | | | |
| 2009 class | 3,101 | 6,890 | 2,242 | 12,233 |
| 2013 class | 4,885 | 8,843 | 2,590 | 16,318 |

- 19. Retrofit to 2003 based on SAT for the top 75% using high school graduates from 2000 produces an underestimate unless most of the lower 20% of admissions is from underrepresented groups. In the out years, there do not appear to be enough minorities eligible under assumptions of modest improvements in current practice, current administration.
- 20. Increase in the Eligible Minorities in the top 75% and Needed FSU Increases

| | Service de la composition della composition dell | | |
|------|--|-------|-----|
| 2009 | 2,671 | 1,507 | 472 |
| 2013 | 4,085 | 1,532 | 494 |

21. This suggests that if FSU enrolls and graduates its proportionate share of underrepresented groups, it cannot meet the state target. Its own target for 2009 is reasonable and the target for 2013 may be understated by 100 to the closest order of magnitude. In each year, slightly increased numbers might be expected given projected increases in retention and graduation rates.