University Funding Formula

November 2023





Table of Contents

Overview	.Page 3
Component Details	Page 4
Excel Summary	Page 6

Overview

The 2023-2024 General Appropriations Act (Ch. 2023-239, L.O.F.) states:

The Board of Governors shall develop a university funding formula that provides for the different missions and programs of the universities, and achieves adequate and stable funding. The funding formula should be mission driven, equitable, use data easily obtained at the state or national level and may include components of the existing performance-based funding model. The funding formula should be submitted to the Executive Office of the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House by November 15, 2023.

The Board of Governors has approved a funding formula that meets all the requirements specified in proviso. The formula has six components grouped into three areas to address the proviso language. All the components recognize the missions of the universities.

Performance Based Outputs and Inputs:

- ✓ Bachelor Degree production and graduation rates
- ✓ Freshman FTIC Enrollments and retention rates

Equity Based:

- ✓ Compares universities to their peer institutions via funding per student
- ✓ Identifies each university Carnegie classification and compares funding per student to other universities in that Carnegie classification

* Research and Graduate Degree Outputs:

- ✓ Research expenditures and licenses/options produced annually
- ✓ Graduate expenditures and graduate degrees produced

Funds can be allocated to any or all the components depending on priorities. Anywhere from one component can be used to allocate funds to all six of the components. Funds can be allocated equally or may vary by component depending on priorities. For example, if three components are being used to allocate funds, two components may each be used to allocate 25% of the funds and the other component can be used to allocate the remaining 50% of the funds.

There is also a base allocation option that may or may not be used. Base funds are intended to be split equally between each university.

Component Details

Component 1 – Bachelor Degrees and Graduation Rates

This component focuses on bachelor degree output weighted by 4-year graduation rates. Funding is allocated on a pro-rata share of the weighted degrees calculation. The data used for this component is collected and reported annually in the State University System Annual Accountability Plan.

Component 2 – Freshmen FTIC Headcount and 2nd Year Retention Rates

This component focuses on freshmen headcount weighted by 2nd year retention rates with a GPA above 2.0. Funding is allocated on a pro-rata share of the total weighted headcount. The data used for this component is collected and reported annually in the State University System Annual Accountability Plan.

Component 3 – Total funding per FTE compared to peer institutions

This component focuses on state and tuition revenues per full-time equivalent (FTE) student of five peer institutions for each SUS institution. The peer institutions were identified by each university. The total funding per FTE goal can be changed to any desired percent of the total revenues per FTE of the five peer institutions' average. For example, if a university's funding per FTE student is below their peers average, and the goal is to provide the university funding to meet that average, then the goal is set to the peers average. If a university funding per FTE student is higher than their peer institutions' average, then no additional resources would be allocated.

The averages are reported in IPEDS from each institution. IPEDS is the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. IPEDS gathers information from every college, university, and technical and vocational institution that participates in the federal student financial aid programs.¹ This component also utilizes state fundable credit hours information provided in the State University System Expenditure Analysis to calculate FTE students.

Component 4 – Total funding per FTE compared to Carnegie classification institutions

This component focuses on state and tuition revenues per FTE student of the Carnegie class of each SUS institution. The goal can be changed to any desired percent of the total revenues per FTE student of the institutions in the same Carnegie class average as reported in IPEDS. For example, if a university's funding per FTE student is below their Carnegie class average, and the goal is to provide the university funding to meet that average, then the goal is set to the Carnegie class average. If a university funding per FTE student is higher than their Carnegie class average, then no additional resources would be allocated.

This component also utilizes state fundable credit hours information provided in the State University System Expenditure Analysis to calculate FTE students.

¹ IPEDS Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "About IPEDS" https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/about-ipeds

Component 5 - Research Expenditures and Licenses/Options Executed

This component focuses on total research expenditures and Licenses/Options Executed annually. The percent of total from each item is averaged to distribute the funding on a pro-rata share. The data used for this component is collected and reported annually in the State University System Annual Accountability Plan.

Component 6 - Graduate expenditures weighted by graduate degrees awarded

This component focuses on graduate expenditures weighted by the percent of SUS total graduate degree output. Graduate degrees do not include medicine, dentistry, pharmacy or veterinary medicine. The data used for this component is collected and reported annually in the State University System Annual Accountability Plan and the State University System Expenditure Analysis.

Excel Summary

Below is a snapshot of the Excel document for the funding formula. This is the summary tab that calculates the appropriated funds. Behind the summary tab are supporting tabs for each of the six components.

15 FSU S0			20	023 STATE U	NIVERSITY S	SYSTEM FUN	DING FORM	IULA PROPO	SAL			
Component 1 - Bachelor's Degrees & Graduation Rates S0 20% Component 1 - Bachelor's Degrees & Graduation Rates S0 20% Component 2 - Freshmen Headcount and 2nd Year Retention Rates S0 20% Component 3 - Total funding per FTE compared to Carnegie classification institutions S0 15% B Component 5 - Research Expenditures S0 15% B Component 5 - Research Expenditures S0 15% Component 5 - Research Expenditures S0 15% B 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Component 5 - Graduate expenditures weighted by graduate degrees awarded 50 15% - Component 5 - Research Expenditures Component 1 - Headcount and Compared to Carnegie Class Base Base Base - Compared to Carnegie Class Executed and awarded 15 F1U 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50					TOTAL APPRO	PRIATED FUNDS:	\$0	←E				
Component 1 - Bachelor's Degrees & Graduation Rates S0 20% Component 2 - Freshmen Headcount and 2nd Year Retention Rates S0 20% B Component 3 - Total funding per FTE compared to peer institutions S0 15% B Component 3 - Total funding per FTE compared to peer institutions S0 15% B Component 3 - Total funding per FTE compared to Carnegie classification institutions S0 15% B Component 5 - Research Expenditures S0 15% B Component 5 - Research Expenditures S0 15% Component 5 - Graduate expenditures weighted by graduate degrees awarded S0 100% 100% 100% 100% Component 5 - Graduate Component 3 - Total funding per FTE compared to Carnegie Classification License/Option graduate degrees Component 1 - Total funding per FTE compared to Per FTE compared to Carnegie Classification License/Option graduate degrees Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Classification License/Option graduate degrees Carnegie Class Base Bachelor's 20 Yer Carnegie Class Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Classification License/Option graduate degrees Carnegie Class Expenditures Carnegie Class Expenditures Carnegie Class Carnegie Class Expenditures <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>PRIATED ELINDS</th><th>\$0</th><th>← D</th><th></th><th>BASE ALLOCATION</th><th>\$0</th></td<>						PRIATED ELINDS	\$0	← D		BASE ALLOCATION	\$0	
Component 2 - Freshmen Headcount and 2nd Year Retention Rates Component 3 - Total funding per FTE compared to peer institutions S0 20% B Component 4 - Total funding per FTE compared to carnegie classification institutions S0 15% B Component 5 - Research Expenditures S0 15% B Component 5 - Research Expenditures S0 15% Component 5 - Research Expenditures Component 6 - Graduate expenditures Component 6 - Graduate degrees Component 7 - Research Expenditures Component 6 - Graduate degrees Component 6 - Graduate degrees Component 6 - Research Expenditures Component 6 - Graduate degrees Componet 6 - Graduate degrees Componet 6 - Graduate degrees Componet 6 - Graduate degrees Co	<u> </u>		Compone					20%		DADE ALLOCATION		
Component 3 - Total funding per FTE compared to gener institutions S0 15% B Component 4 - Total funding per FTE compared to Carnegie classification institutions S0 15% B Component 4 - Total funding per FTE compared to Carnegie classification institutions S0 15% B 100% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10					-						•	
Component 4 - Total funding per FTE compared to Carnegie classification institutions Component 5 - Research Expenditures Component 6 - Graduate expenditures weighted by graduate degrees awarded S0 15% B 1 2 3 4 5 8 7 8 9 100% 1 2 3 4 5 8 7 8 9 100% 1 2 3 4 5 8 7 8 9 10 Component 5 - Graduate expenditures weighted by graduate degrees awarded 50 Total funding per FTE component 5 - Gomponent 4 - Gomponent 2 - Total funding compared to Carnegie Carnegie Class Base Component 1 - Headcount and Compared to Carnegie compared to Carnegie Carnegie Class Base Degrees Retention Rate institutions S0 50 S0											•	
Component 5 - Research Expenditures S0 15% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100% Component 2- Total funding per FTE Component 3- Compared to Component 5- Compared to Component 6- Carnegie Research Graduate Graduate expenditures							• -		FΒ			
Component 6 - Graduate expenditures weighted by graduate degrees awarded S0 15% 100% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 3 0 Component 4 - Component 3 - Component 3 - Component 3 - Component 2 - Freshmen Total funding Component 5 - Graduate Graduate Carnegie Class Base Base Component 1 - Basic Retention Rate institutions Carnegie class/fication License/Soptions graduate degrees 15 FIU S0					-							
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Component 3. Component 3. Component 2. Total funding per FTE Component 5. Component 6. per FTE Component 5. Expenditures Component 6. Expenditures Carnegie Class Base Base Bachelor's Degrees Znd Year Retention Rate per FTE Research Component 5. Component 6. Graduate 15 FIU S0 S		Component	6 - Graduate expend				\$0					
Component 4 - Component 3 - Component 3 - Total funding Component 4 - Total funding Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 6 - Graduate Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 6 - Freshmen Component 5 - Component 5 - Total funding Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 6 - Freshmen Comporent 5 - Component 5 - Total funding Component 5 - Component 6 - Freshmen Compared to Carnegie Class Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Class Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Class Component 6 - Cornegie Class Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Class Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Class S(0 <th co<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td>100%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td>100%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				5		<u> </u>		100%			
Component 4 - Component 3 - Component 3 - Total funding Component 4 - Total funding Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 6 - Graduate Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 6 - Freshmen Component 5 - Component 5 - Total funding Component 5 - Component 5 - Component 6 - Freshmen Compared to Carnegie Class Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Bachelor's Compared to Carnegie Class Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Component 1 - Bachelor's Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Component 2 - Carnegie Component 2 - Carnegie Component 2 - Carnegie Component 2 - Carnegie Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Component 1 - Headcount and compared to Carnegie Component 2 - Carnegie												

A – The six components are shown here and in columns 5 - 10.

B – These cells are used to weigh each component depending on priority. The percentages can be set to any amount, including zero.

C – The base allocation offers the option to give each university the same amount of funding. The amount input into this section will be spread evenly across all twelve institutions in column 4.

D – The desired funding amount, after the base allocation will be entered into the funding formula appropriated funds section. Once an amount is entered, the rows and columns will then be populated with a distribution for each component and university.

E – This section displays the total appropriated funds to be allocated. This amount adds the base allocation and the funding formula appropriated funds together to display the total amount to be appropriated.







Board of Governors State University System of Florida 325 West Gaines Street, Suite 1614

25 West Gaines Street, Suite 1614 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 Phone: (850) 245-0466 *www.flbog.edu*